4. The Implementation of Basel II: Effects, Challenges, and Risks (Source: KPMG, 2003)

Constituent	Current Situation	Effects of Basel II	Challenges	Risks
Banks	Use "one-size-fits-all" regulatory capital approach	 Need to implement risk management framework tying regulatory capital to economic risks Need to choose credit and operational risk approaches (Pillar I) Need to gather, store, and analyze wide array of new data Need to embed new/enhanced practices across the organization 	 Interpret new regulations and understand effects on business Manage change to risk culture Secure and maintain board and senior management sponsorship Face new expectations from regulators, rating agencies, and customers Need to consider whether to target certain customers/producs or eliminate others Determine what to do with surplus capital 	

Customers	 Often unable to generate sufficient internal cash flow to realize all necessary investments Depend on external resources, which could be debt or equity 	 Need external/internal rating to obtain credit Face increased transparency of account profitability Need to collect and disclose new information Face possibility of reduced service, standardized products, higher interest rates 	 Face new costs resulting from need to provide lenders with new, timely information Improve lending terms Improve connections with lenders/investors through enhanced disclosures and structured debt holder's relationship management Use key performance indicators to monitor performance Face request for better collateralization Manage rating process 	 Receive a marginal rating, which could result in: Reduced credit lines Increased collateral requirements - Fewer refinancing opportunities Higher interest and general costs Increased information requirements Comparative disadvantages with suppliers and customers if rating is part of a prequalification process
Regulators	 Operate in a fragmented environment Need enhanced information to be able to anticipate bank problems (vs. respond in crisis/default) 	 Gain access to more and timely information through the new disclosures Basel requires of banks Gain power to set incentives, penalize wrongdoers, and act (not react) thus contributing to increased financial stability and transparency 	 Need well-trained, educated professionals to fill roles that are traditionally not as well paid as comparable positions within financial institutions Create regulation that reflects the linkages among risks Provide incentives for banks to evaluate risks through stress-testing and scenario analysis 	May create new costs for banks and ultimately for customers Impose numerous locally specific choices that diminish the effects of the levelled playing field that Basel II seeks to create

Rating Agencies	Operate in an oligopolistic environment dominated by Standard & Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch (Europe); others face high barriers to entry	 Grow based on new need for ratings by banks and capital market participants Compete with new, smaller players allied in new associations designed to improve their competitiveness and reputation Respond to requirements for greater transparency in rating components 	 Seek to improve reputation (national agencies) Obtain approval (supervisory criteria) for banks to use Standard Approach Maintain high quality of ratings Benefit from intermediation process 	 Face reduced market share because most banks will likely use IRB approaches Fail to benefit from increased competition if smaller agencies cannot surmount barriers to entry
Capital Markets	Face trend toward securitization, including credit derivatives	 Deal with accelerating trends toward: Securitization, and growth in derivatives markets "Risks" (e.g., corporate bonds) offered in smaller parcels New growth of debt market 	 Face reduced customer base as low-quality corporations avoid debt capital markets in favor of stable relationships with banks Create investor trust and reduce volatility by encouraging the development of a regulatory framework, by market 	 Deal with potential for: Volatility in the debt market Reduced liquidity Corporations facing difficulties in offering bonds Companies running out of capital

Financial Institutions out of Basel II's scope (non-banks, near banks, credit card companies, consumer financing companies)	Not covered by financial regulation comparable to the Basel regime	 Operate in same markets but in different regulatory environment then Basel-compliant institutions Do not need to gather or disclose the same information as Basel-compliant institutions Need to consider the extent to which "complying" with Basel II is strategically important to help the institution remain competitive and to signal quality Can potentially offer similar financial products at a lower price than competitors 	 Interpret new regulations and understand effects on business and risk management Demonstrate quality as Basel II emerges as a best practice standard 	 Fail to respond effectively as Basel II becomes an industry benchmark Face potential downgrades when assessed by external rating agencies and not applying Basel II
---	---	---	---	--