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Foreword

It is indeed a great pleasure for me to welcome the publication entitled ‘The Future of SMEs after the Corona Crisis: Challenges and Opportunities’ is going to be published containing two reports. The reports were presented in the international webinar on ‘The Pandemic and SMEs: Shock-absorbing policy measure and future debates-Impacts in Bangladesh and Lessons from Responses around the World’. The program was organized jointly by the Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) Bangladesh and Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) Foundation, Bangladesh on 24 September 2020. The objective of the webinar was to engage national and international experts, entrepreneurs, researchers, policy makers, association leaders, civil society and so on in the novel coronavirus crisis to find out policy options, and finding way out to overcome the difficulty.

The Covid-19 has been affecting most of the countries and caused deadly havoc in the world. The impact of the pandemic on the world economy has been a major blow including poverty, unemployment and economic shock since it first broke out in December 2019. With the onset of the Covid-19 crisis, Micro, Small and Medium enterprises (MSMEs) are in a particularly vulnerable position; this is a big concern for the developing countries like Bangladesh, since these enterprises are strategically important for the economic recovery in the country after the pandemic is over. In the above context this initiative is notable and praiseworthy.

I would like to convey my sincere gratitude and heartfelt thanks to the Honorable Minister, Ministry of Industries for his gracious presence in the webinar and giving his valuable advice that helps a lot to publish this report. I am also extending my deep appreciation to Dr. Atiur Rahman, Professor, University of Dhaka & Former Governor, Bangladesh Bank and Mr. Michael Rössler, Vice-Chair, Craft-Industry International, Baden-Württemberg, Germany for contributing in the webinar and by providing their valuable write-ups. I am also thankful to the moderator of the program Professor Shibli Rubayat-Ul-Islam, Chairman, Bangladesh Securities and Exchange Commission & to all Discussants and Participants from home and abroad for their participation and valuable inputs & thoughts of the publication. We are also grateful to Ms. Tina Blohm, Country Representative of FES Bangladesh and her colleagues for their cordial supports to arrange the webinar and publication of this report as well.

We believe, the publication will be useful to the policymakers, practitioners, academician, researchers and development partners to Bangladesh for reviewing the programs in the ongoing Covid situations and design required action plan for the SME development in Bangladesh. I hope all the stakeholders will work together in promoting SMEs to overcome Covid-19 challenges in the upcoming days.

Dr. Md. Mafizur Rahman
Managing Director, SME Foundation
Since 2018, the Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) Foundation and Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) Bangladesh Office have been jointly working through researching, organizing workshops, publishing reports, and exchanging ideas on the reforms and development of SMEs in Bangladesh. This is a continuous journey of partnership, which Covid-19 cannot stop. Thus, we organized an international webinar in September 2020 to engage participants from different sectors, backgrounds, and countries to ascertain responses to the below questions:

1. The Present: Which policy measures can help to sustain SMEs, so that they continue their businesses and role as employers in the course of the pandemic? How are these measures best implemented in Bangladesh?

2. The Future: Can IT-based innovation create futures of SMEs in Bangladesh? What will be policy options? How can SMEs be linked more sustainable to value chains beyond national borders?

The Covid-19 pandemic has a tremendously negative impact on the production, revenue, and lives of entrepreneurs and workers. As the media reports, the average reduction of revenue for all SMEs is 66% in 2020 compared to 2019. News articles are portraying the dismal picture of the Covid-19 impact on SMEs—massive disruption of the supply chain, hardest hit on the rural entrepreneurs, partial or no wages to the workers, etc. The government and the associations of SMEs are taking certain policy measures to cope with the new realities, trying to provide incentives to the entrepreneurs and workers so that they can survive and continue to stay in supply chains.

The webinar discussion was covered by major national dailies and highlighted the need for joint efforts for SME protection and growth in this crisis situation. Hence, we decided to publish the keynote papers in a compiled publication, so that the invaluable analyzes cannot be lost.

The readers of this publication may find a futuristic insight and perspectives of SMEs along with national and global coping strategies in the Covid-19 pandemic.

We hope that these contents and recommendations may create further policy debates, and are immensely thankful for the full cooperation of the SME Foundation, which we look forward to jointly enrich in the coming years.

Tina Blohm, Resident Representative, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) Bangladesh

Shadhan Kumar Das, Programme Coordinator, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) Bangladesh

14 March 2021
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Bangladesh SMEs during the Pandemic: Coping with the Challenges and Mapping the Opportunities

Dr. Atiur Rahman

1. Context

Bangladesh as an economy has had a remarkable journey since its independence almost five decades ago. Development experts and economists have acknowledged its amazing macroeconomic achievements as well as its achievements in terms of humane development. It literally “rose to today's prosperity from yesterday's ashes.” Especially, over the last decade or so the country has experienced a 'quantum jump' in terms of many development indicators and has successfully placed itself as a role model of sustainable and inclusive development for the rest of the world (developing and developed alike). And the ever-growing SME sector of the country can be attributed a (if not the) significant share of the credit for this success story which is still unfolding. Consequently, as the country was aspiring to become a high-income country within another two decades or so, development thinkers and policy makers have been emphasizing on greater need for sustainable development and management of the SME sector. Unfortunately, no one foresaw the looming danger of the on-going pandemic and the subsequent global economic slowdown. Undoubtedly, the global economy has not faced so sharp a contraction in living memory and it is still uncertain when will be the end to it. Since the surge of the infections remains unabated it is very difficult to anticipate what is in store for the global economy. The pandemic-induced global financial crisis, without any doubt, has also severely affected Bangladesh economy and the SME sector of the country been perhaps the most affected. In hindsight, SME sector being the largest employer in Bangladesh, can also lead the recovery process provided the sector gets timely, adequate, and prudent policy support. The Bangladesh Government has, of course, declared a large stimulus package for the sector. The challenge lies in its judicious implementation as many of the SME entrepreneurs are new and work in the informal sector with no credible cash-flow management which is useful for the lenders.

The Quantum Jump of Bangladesh Economy

The stunning macroeconomic performance of Bangladesh over the last two decades is explicitly visible in terms of growth of the size of the economy. Between 2009-10 (Fiscal year of Bangladesh) to 2018-19 it has grown from 6 trillion BDT to 11 trillion BDT. Moreover, the economy of Bangladesh has also shown strong resilience to the global financial crisis that ensued by the end of the first decade of this new century. Besides targeting the overall economic growth strategy, there were several inclusive growth policies taken by the government which include a) extending digital connectivity of the economy under the banner of 'Digital Bangladesh', b) promoting policies of financial inclusiveness by the central bank for the disadvantaged smaller entrepreneurs and farming communities across Bangladesh, and c) extending the social safety net for the poorest. The prudent support for the climate affected vulnerable communities through innovative adaptation and mitigation strategies in addition to the pro-active sustainable finance strategy of the central bank also provided an extra edge to Bangladesh while pursuing climate friendly sustainable development. Steady economic growth and many poverty reduction strategies adopted by the government of Bangladesh led to a continuous fall in the poverty rate of Bangladesh. At the same time, it is also predicted that if this growth pattern continues, Bangladesh will become the 26th largest economy of the world by 2030. Bangladesh has also achieved a lot both in terms of economic and social development indicators, and hence is being considered a model for inclusive development (Rahman 2019).

Rapid growth of Bangladesh economy naturally entailed similar growth in terms of per capita income. As shown in the next figure per capita income of Bangladeshis has been steadily rising from 306 USD in 1990 to USD 1,638 in 2016 (see Figure1) and furthermore it has been rising at a rate faster than that of Pakistan and India. By 2018, per capita income of Bangladesh reached nearly USD 2,000.

---

1 The author is deeply indebted to Abdullah Nadvi and Robert Shguvro Guda of Unnayan Shamannay for their excellent research support.
HSBC Global Research (2018) projected that in between 2018 and 2030, Bangladesh's GDP growth rate would be 7.1 percent per year on average. Consequently, according to these projections, by 2030 Bangladesh economy will be larger than that of the Philippines, Pakistan, Vietnam and even Malaysia (Henry and Pomeroy, 2018). The Asian Development Outlook of ADB (2019) put Bangladesh as the only country with eight plus percent of GDP growth in 2018. The outlook said that Bangladesh will remain the fastest growing country in Asia with 8.1 percent growth of GDP in 2019. The World Bank, on the other hand, predicts GDP growth to be less than the projection by ADB. However, the World Bank's projection still puts Bangladesh at a more elevated position compared to its South Asian Peers.

A number of factors have been contributing behind the country's macroeconomic success and these are expected to do so in the coming couple of decades as well. Bangladesh has been earning significant amounts of foreign exchange through RMG exports (commodity export boom) and as well as a few non-RMG items like ICT services, agro-processed, leather, ceramic and

Figure 1: Per capita income of Bangladesh, India and Pakistan from 1990 to 2018

Source: World Bank data

Figure 2 shows that in 2030, per capita income of Bangladesh (USD 5,700) will exceed that of India (Standard Chartered, 2019). Bangladesh's achievements in terms of different social indicators are even more impressive, with continued fall in infant and maternal mortality rates along with the highest life expectancy (nearly 73 years) in South Asia (Rahman 2019).

A number of factors have been contributing behind the country's macroeconomic success and these are expected to do so in the coming couple of decades as well. Bangladesh has been earning significant amounts of foreign exchange through RMG exports (commodity export boom) and as well as a few non-RMG items like ICT services, agro-processed, leather, ceramic and
Role Of SMEs In Bangladesh Economy

SME sector is said to be contributing 25 percent to the GDP of Bangladesh (Light Castle, 2020). This implies that the absolute amount contributed by this sector has increased more or less in alignment with the growth of the size of the economy (discussed earlier). However, macro-economic significance of this sector is perhaps most explicitly visible through the sheer number of people employed in this sector. As per the Report of Economic Census 2013 by Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), almost 8 million people are engaged in this sector. Contributing a significant share of GDP implies that the SME sector supplies a significant share of the products and services consumed nationally and exported abroad. And employing (directly and indirectly) a very large share of the workforce implies that this sector ensures income for many households living at the bottom of the social pyramid. And these households in turn spend most of what they earn to buy goods and services and thus help boost the domestic demand. Hence, SME sector in Bangladesh contributes towards strengthening the supply-chain and as well as creates demand within the economy.

The SMEs have, thus, contributed significantly to macroeconomic success of Bangladesh through creation of employment for a rapidly growing workforce, value addition to gross domestic products, foreign exchange earnings and above all, reduction of poverty through creation of capital (SME Foundation, 2013). This also implies that in the coming decades, as Bangladesh strives to become a high-income country, this sector will continue to remain equally significant (if not more). And provided the diverse needs of this sector are addressed by the policy makers in a prudent manner this will go a long way in reshaping the economy of Bangladesh in the desired direction towards sustainable and inclusive growth trajectory.

Coping With The Pandemic Shock

The outbreak of COVID 19 across the world has resulted in a deepest global economic recession since the Second World War. The World Bank fears a 5.2 percent contraction in global GDP in 2020 (World Bank 2020). The Bank acknowledges the policy measures taken by the different Emerging Markets and Developing Economies (EMDEs)
like Bangladesh and yet fears that per capita income in these countries will shrink in 2020 (ibid). Over the last decade or so, as Bangladesh went through a ‘quantum leap’ of macroeconomic development it has also become more integrated with the global economy. And so has the SME sector of the country. This implies that the booming SME sector of the country is facing (and will continue to do so in the coming few years) unexpected and unprecedented challenges because of the pandemic. On the one hand, possible medium- to long-term falls in demands will affect the sector. And on the other hand, overall deterioration of human capital formation may also adversely affect the sector’s level of productivity.

In this context, this paper intends to map the policy measures that can help in sustaining the SMEs in Bangladesh during its process of economic recovery. This introductory section is followed by a brief presentation of the situation (challenges and opportunities) of Bangladesh SME sector in the pre-pandemic time. This is then followed by an analysis of impact of the pandemic on this sector based on the information available so far. Finally, the paper investigates possible ways forward considering the policy measures taken so far, and good practices in other countries.

2. Pre-pandemic Situation Of Bangladesh SMEs

No doubt, there is an explicit policy level acknowledgement of macroeconomic significance of this sector and commitment to consider this as a core component of the national growth strategy. Yet, the official data generation on this sector remains quite scarce. However, the Report on Economic Census 2013 by BBS remains as the most recent comprehensive source of information related SME activities in the country. This section of the paper reviews the information from the said census report to portray overall picture of SMEs in Bangladesh. Additionally, more recent information from Bangladesh Bank related to SME financing are analyzed. Analysis of SME financing trend is done to portray the growing policy attention to this sector. Apart from this the core challenges facing SME sector (before the pre-pandemic period) are also discussed briefly. This is expected to facilitate better understanding of the new and/or additional challenges that the pandemic-induced economic slowdown has imposed on this sector. Finally, the National SME Policy 2019 of the Ministry of Industries, Government of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh is reviewed briefly to present the national level planning and policy directives for this sector.

SME Sector Trends

According to the Report of Economic Census 2013 by BBS, there were 7.8 million enterprises in Bangladesh at the moment and of them a staggering 6.8 million were cottage enterprises. The second largest group were the SMEs- over 866 thousand SMEs constituting just above 11 percent of all enterprises. Share of SMEs in the total employment created by enterprises is almost triple of their share in total number of enterprises (30 percent). As shown in the figure below small enterprises employed 27 percent of the workforce engaged in enterprises and the same ratio for medium enterprises is 3 percent.

Figure 4: Share (%) of enterprise workforce engaged in different types (size-wise) enterprises (as of 2013)

2. Pre-pandemic Situation Of Bangladesh SMEs

As of 2013, Bangladesh had over 868 thousand enterprises and of them over 109 thousand (13 percent) were exporting products/services abroad. This ratio is significantly higher for the national average for SMEs. At the said moment, of the almost 31 thousand small enterprises- almost 26 percent were exporting. And of the almost 3 thousand medium enterprises- almost 16 hundred were exporting (48 percent). That is over a quarter of the small enterprises and almost half of the medium enterprises were earning foreign exchange through exports. The ratio is higher (than SMEs) only for large enterprises which is only natural as large industrial units in the country are usually set up with the intention of exporting abroad.

Though not most recent, these information presents a clear picture of the pivotal role SME sector plays in creating employment and bringing in foreign currency for Bangladesh. The significance of SME sector has been acknowledged in a timely manner by the central bank of the country. And through numerous monetary policy

2 This is the sum of establishments that cater to international demand only and those which cater to both domestic and international demand.
With the intention of mapping the small industrial clusters across Bangladesh to formulate appropriate policies and SME development interventions, SME foundation conducted SME cluster survey in 2013 and publicized the report.

The positive attitude of the central bank towards SME promotion is well reflected in the SME financing trend since the beginning of the current decade. As shown in Figure 6, both credits disbursed to SMEs through formal financing channel and the number of SMEs receiving those credits more than doubled within five years (between 2010 and 2015). During the said period, credit disbursed to SMEs increased from BDT 535 billion to BDT 1,159 billion and the number of SMEs receiving credit increased from 309 thousand to 725 thousand. However, it must also be noted that growth in SME credit disbursement could be even better. Between FY 2009-10 and FY 2015-16, share of SME credit and advances in the total outstanding has increased slightly from just below 20 percent to almost 23 percent. A sector employing 30 percent of the enterprise workforce should have received at least 30 percent of the credit disbursed for the sake of ensuring inclusiveness of the growth process. More importantly, the total outstanding has increased by an average BDT 53,761 crore per year. During the same period, average annual growth for outstanding to SME has been BDT 13,602 crore (see Figure 7).

With the intention of mapping the small industrial clusters across Bangladesh to formulate appropriate policies and SME development interventions, SME foundation conducted SME cluster survey in 2013 and publicized the report.
Challenges For SME Growth

While SMEs in Bangladesh went through a commendable growth process since the beginning of current decade, as the sector continued to expand barriers to further expansion became increasingly visible. A sector assessment conducted by ADB under its Second Small and Medium-Sized Enterprise Development Project (RRB BAN 36200) identified certain impediments to desired growth of the said sector. These are: a) limited participation of women, b) centralization, and c) lack of access to finance (ADB, 2015).

First, less than one-third of the human resources engaged in the sector has been found to be women. And even when the micro-enterprises are considered the gender composition does not alter significantly. Hence, it will not be wrong to infer that if further female participation can be ensured through policy incentives then the sector is likely to grow at a more desired rate.

Secondly, though the economic census of 2013 showed that majority of the SMEs were in the rural areas, it also showed that almost one-third of these SMEs were around the capital city of Dhaka and another 17 percent were around the second largest city- Chittagong. This is indicative of significant geographic disparities in terms distribution of SMEs across the country. This clearly implies that there is significant scope of growth of SMEs in the other parts of the country.

Finally, and perhaps most importantly- lack of access to formal finance has been identified as a major obstacle on the way of survival and expansion of SMEs in Bangladesh. The factors (as identified by ADB) working behind have been found to be quite similar to other countries, namely- high lending rates; strict collateral and guarantee requirements; and complicated loan application procedures.

While the challenges discussed here are without any doubt prominent, the policy makers in Bangladesh have been diligent in addressing the same. For example, Bangladesh Bank (the central bank of Bangladesh) has come forward with numerous innovative policy directives/initiatives to support the sector in overcoming these barriers. These include- inclusion of all banks and NBFIIs in the SME lending program; refinance window at bank rate for SMEs; guiding financiers to have separate CMSME financing strategy; Islamic shariah-based financing for CMSMEs, relaxation and revision of loan amounts for CMSMEs etc. (Aziz and Alom, 2019). The push for Women Entrepreneur Desk at each branch of the commercial banks by the central bank and earmarking a certain percentage of refinanced loan to the women entrepreneurs also indicate the gender-based developmental concern of the regulator. The Government of Bangladesh itself, of late, has come up with a clear long-term vision regarding SME sector development through formulation of the SME Policy 2019. This policy aims to strengthen the SME sector of Bangladesh by enhancing efficiency, improving business environment, providing easy access to finance, ensuring better marketing facilities, upgrading technology and innovative capabilities, and creating employment opportunities (Ministry of Industries, GoB, 2019).

Looking Ahead: SME Policy 2019

In alignment with GoB’s macroeconomic aspirations for the coming couple of decades, SME policy 2019 has set the target of increasing the contribution of the SME sector from 25 percent to 32 percent of GDP. The policy framework specifically emphasizes on development of sustainable environment-friendly SME sector and creation of a business environment where the SMEs may thrive at
Figure 8: Overarching objectives set in the SME Policy 2019 of GoB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Strategic Goal</th>
<th>Planned Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| IDENTIFYING | Role of important sectors related to development of SMEs. | > Simplification of legal and administrative procedures  
| | | > Rationalization of tax policy  
| | | > Investment and revenue incentives for exporters  
| | | > Enhanced coordination among stakeholders  
| | | > Capacity building |
| CREATING | Necessary infrastructure and cluster development for expansion. | > Creating a sustainable and effective institutional mechanism  
| | | > Increasing amount of credit flow  
| | | > Encourage innovation (SME Bank)  
| | | > Strengthening existing refinance initiatives  
| | | > Easy access to low-cost credit  
| | | > Introducing credit guarantee schemes |
| IMPROVING | SME-friendly environment (finance, ICT, market linkage etc.). |  |
| ESTABLISHING | Public-private partnerships (PPPs). |  |
| INCREASING | R & D for enhancing SME sector competitiveness. |  |
| COORDINATING | To ensure coherent actions from all stakeholders. |  |

Source: Ministry of Finance, GoB

As shown in Figure 8, the latest policy related to SME development in the country has rightly emphasized addressing the major challenges on the way of desired growth of the sector in the coming decades. To attain these, extensive SME development activities will have to be undertaken and that in turn will result in increased contribution of SME sector in the GDP and further reduction in poverty as a whole (Ministry of Industries, GoB, 2019). The policy document goes further laying out the strategic goals, sub-goals and actions to attain the goals/sub-goals. The next table shows the overall strategic framework of the policy.

Table 1: Strategies and actions proposed in the SME Policy 2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Goal</th>
<th>Planned Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **3) Enhancing competitive capability and support to access of SME products into the market** | > Ensure quality of SME products  
> Facilitating capability building training  
> Provide advisory and information services  
> Enhance scope of entry to the export market  
> Domestic demand mobilization for SME products |
| **4) SME business support services, support to start-up businesses set up in a short period of time with low cost** | > Support to new businesses within short time and with low cost  
> Accessible start-up business process (online/digital system)  
> One-stop service for start-ups  
> Information and advisory services for start-ups  
> Collateral free loans for potential entrepreneurs |
| **05) SME cluster-based enterprises network development and expansion** | > Improved infrastructure at BSCIC industrial estates  
> Incubation centers and Common Facilities Centers (CFCs) in industrial estates/clusters  
> Collateral free single digit rate loans for potential entrepreneurs in industrial estates/clusters  
> Increasing capacity and efficiency of entrepreneurs  
> Expand cluster development and business network |
| **06) Increase the use of information, communication and other technologies** | > Promotion of modern, environment-friendly and energy-efficient technologies  
> Supporting expansion through e-commerce  
> Provide necessary ICT-based support  
> Establishing technology and innovation incubators  
> Promotion of automation |
| **07) Expansion of skill development education and training programs for SME entrepreneurs** | > Developing and implementing appropriate business training programs  
> Developing standardized training modules and curricula  
> Enhancing capacity of training institutes  
> Developing online training content  
> Enhance coordination and communication among training providers |
| **08) Extent programs for women entrepreneurship development and provide specialized services** | > Special training programs for women entrepreneurs  
> Increase flow of finance to women entrepreneurs (launching special development fund)  
> Capacity building of Women Chambers and the associated trade bodies  
> Awards and scholarships  
> Increasing market network and connectivity |
As shown in Table 1, the SME Policy 2019 is quite comprehensive and more importantly on the right track in terms of setting the strategic goals and activities to attain those goals. Moreover, these actions are time-bound, and the policy includes an action plan to implement and monitor these activities. These activities are to be completed by the end of FY 2023-204, and they are categorized as short-term (implementable within 1 year), medium-term (implementable within 2 to 3 years), and long-term (implementable within 4 to 5 years or more as necessary). The policy also clearly defines the roles of different actors (government and non-government) in materializing the said aspirations.

As far as pre-pandemic scenario is concerned, Bangladesh seems to have had a commendable growth pattern of the SME sector (especially visible through increased access to formal finance for the sector); a clear understanding/mapping of the core challenges on the way of further expansion; and most importantly, the country came up with a sector policy with clear cut objectives and a pragmatic action plan to attain the strategic goals. The pertinent question therefore is- to what extent the country needs to revamp its policy stance to cope with the effect of the ongoing pandemic on the economy as a whole and specifically on the SME sector itself. A pre-requisite to answering this burning question is a holistic assessment of the impact of the pandemic-induced economic slowdown as well as assessment of the outcome of the support initiatives already taken to safeguard the sector from the largest recession since the Second World War.

### 3. Coping With The COVID-19 Pandemic

Over the past few months, on the one hand, Bangladesh as a country has been struggling to manage the health disaster caused by the outbreak of the pandemic (mostly because of the health sector not being adequately prepared to manage a shock of this scale). On the other hand, because of its deep integration into the global economy, the economy of Bangladesh has also been feeling the backlash of global economic slowdown (though not as severe as many other comparable economies of the world). Naturally, the SME sector of the country has also suffered due to this massive crisis. Experts believe that this sector may be the most affected one because of its limited capital and shock absorbing capacity. While a comprehensive impact assessment is yet to be conducted (as the pandemic is still on-going and no one is sure when it will end); few quick empirical assessments have been conducted and the outcomes have been shared among the stakeholders. And GoB with potent and timely support from the banking system of the country has already launched stimulus package to safeguard the SME sector of the country (it has done so for other sectors as well).

This section of the paper presents the effect of the pandemic on the economy in general as well as on the SME sector based on the said impact assessment studies. It also attempts to assess the immediate effects of the stimulus package by GoB and map the challenges on the way of proper implementation of the stimulus program.
Pandemic-induced Economic Slowdown And Bangladesh Economy

The most recent report on ‘Global Productivity’ from the World Bank published in the backdrop of the pandemic identified Bangladesh to be among the few economies that experienced a growth in productivity since the global financial crisis of 2008-09. As per the report, productivity growth in Bangladesh was robust between 2013 and 2018 at 5.1 percent (which is above the country’s pre-GFC average productivity of 4.7 percent). This has put Bangladesh in the top decile of EMDEs. This success is attributed to macro-economic and political stability in the country that facilitated both public and private fixed investment (Dieppe, 2020). While Bangladesh has benefitted from its limited exposure to external headwinds, continued rapid urbanization, and an improving business environment; the COVID-19 shock and the related plunge in global economy poses significant risk to this productivity growth (ibid).

The World Bank, perhaps taking the afore mentioned risk into account, projected severely contracted growth for Bangladesh Economy in its latest report titled ‘Global Economic Prospect’, published in June 2020. The Bank projected a meager 1.6 percent growth of real GDP for Bangladesh in 2020 in stark contrast with the ratio being 8.2 percent for the previous year as per the Bank estimations. This projection, in fact, is 5.6 percentage points lower compared to earlier projections of the Bank for Bangladesh’s real GDP growth rate in 2020 (World Bank, 2020). The Bank fears further cuts if bringing the pandemic under control takes longer than expected or if financial stress triggers cascading defaults (ibid).

The ADB, however, is comparatively much more optimistic about economic growth projection of Bangladesh in 2020 and 2021; and hence is closer to the predictions of GoB itself. In the Asian Development Outlook 2020, ADB especially focused on impact of the COVID-19 outbreak. It says that growth in South Asia will decelerate to 4.1 percent in 2020 and then recover to 6.0 percent in 2021 mostly in alignment with the trends in growth in India, the largest economy in the area. Yet, Bangladesh’s GDP performance has been reported to remain strong. As per ADP forecasts, Bangladesh economy will grow by 7.8 percent (later adjusted to 6.8 per cent in September) in 2020 despite significant pulling back of global demand (ADB, 2020). This ratio is still 0.4 percentage points lesser than the growth recorded in the previous year (8.2 percent in 2019). ADB further projects that Bangladesh’s growth rate will recover to 8.0 percent in 2021. And more importantly the report projects the inflation to remain in check and the current account deficit to further narrow during this period. In fact, it has already become positive, thanks to robust performances of the remittance and the export sectors in recent months defying earlier prediction of gloom by many experts and organizations. These optimistic forecasts about Bangladesh Economy by ADB rest on certain assumptions such as continuation of political stability, maintenance of consumer and investment confidence, improved trade in 2021, and expansionary monetary policy by the central bank to support economic growth. Most importantly, the ADB projections assume that the pandemic will not further affect economic activities of the country. It also identifies adequate resource mobilization through efficient taxation as the pre-requisite for Bangladesh to materialize its macroeconomic potential in the coming years (ibid).

While growth projections vary from one development partner to another, it is obvious that Bangladesh economy has already been severely affected by the pandemic-induced economic slowdown and a lot will depend in the coming years on how the policy makers deal with these affects. This is, perhaps, truer for the SME sector compared to the rest of the economy due to this sector being comparatively labor intensive, relying more on informal economic activities and above all- having comparatively lesser access to formal finance.

Effect Of The Pandemic On Bangladesh SMEs

As has been mentioned earlier, a comprehensive assessment of the impact of the pandemic on the SME sector is yet to be conducted. This is partly because the pandemic is not over yet and partly because comprehensive and up to date SME statistics (data base) is not available. Yet, a couple of quick surveys regarding the effect of the pandemic on SMEs in Bangladesh has caught attention of the stakeholders. Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (BIDS) conducted a quick survey covering 375 SMEs and 360 workers between 26 April 2020 and 10 May 2020 (Ovi, 2020). Key findings from the survey were:

- Average reduction of revenue for all SMEs is 66 percent in 2020 compared to 2019.
- Share of SME products remaining unsold due to economic slowdown was found to be 76 percent.
- Because of the ‘partial lockdown’ only 16 percent SMEs remained fully operational.
- Among the SME employees 42 percent received partial salary, while another 4 percent did not receive salaries at all.
- Entrepreneurs reported that they will be able sustain for about another month (i.e., until June 2020) if the lockdown continued further.

Another similar survey was conducted by LightCastle Partners in collaboration with Sheba.xyz which covered 230 enterprises across eight divisions of Bangladesh. This survey was conducted during the first week of April 2020.
Survey findings revealed that many SMEs were unable to produce because of unavailability of raw materials during the partial lockdown. It also revealed that entrepreneurs were primarily reducing marketing and distribution expenses to cope with the losses, and service-oriented SMEs were facing greater challenges compared to other SMEs (LightCastle Partners, 2020). Other major findings from this survey were:

* 52 percent of the surveyed SMEs had to completely shut down operations.
* 28 percent of the entrepreneurs experienced drastic decrease in income (by over 50 percent).
* 68 percent of the entrepreneurs would not be able to sustain their ventures if the partial lockdown continued for over 4 months (i.e., up to mid-July 2020).

While these are findings from quick surveys conducted over relatively smaller sample sizes, their findings still are indicative of the severity of effects of pandemic on Bangladesh SMEs. A more precise picture can be drawn through comparison of SME financing trends of the second quarter of the current year (i.e., April to June 2020) with the same trend of the second quarter of the previous year (i.e., April to June 2019). Of course, formal financial service providers still cover a relatively smaller share of the total number of Bangladesh SMEs. But still, a comparison of formal credit flows to this sector can be a suitable proxy indicator to measure the aggregate downfall.

* 52 percent of the surveyed SMEs had to completely shut down operations.
* 28 percent of the entrepreneurs experienced drastic decrease in income (by over 50 percent).
* 68 percent of the entrepreneurs would not be able to sustain their ventures if the partial lockdown continued for over 4 months (i.e., up to mid-July 2020).

Figure 9: Number of SME loans disbursed during April-June 2019 and April-June 2020

A similar pattern of drastic decrease is visible from early 2019 to early 2020 in terms of total amount of loan disbursed in favor of SMEs.

Figure 10 shows that amount of loan disbursed to medium enterprises came down by 26 percent from April-June 2019 to April-June 2020 (from BDT 123 billion to BDT 91 billion). Here again the decrease is significantly higher for small enterprises- 40 percent (from BDT 260 billion to BDT 157 billion).

Both the figures (Figure 9 and Figure 10) indicate severely contracted demand for SME credit which is most likely due to slowing down of SME activities amid the outbreak of COVID-19. This implies that- on the one hand, SMEs in Bangladesh have been forced to remain non-operational because of the pandemic-induced economic slowdown, and on the other hand, entrepreneurs (old as well as new one) have been reluctant to take up new loans to expand and/or sustain their SMEs due to lack of confidence amid wide-spread uncertainties.

Stimulus For SMEs: Timely And Flexible Approach

Commendably, GoB has been quick to take action to safeguard SME sector from the effects of pandemic-induced economic slowdown. The honorable Prime Minister declared a gigantic stimulus package worth BDT 20,000 crore for SMEs on 05 May 2020. One must also

4 This quarter is chosen as Bangladesh went for partial lockdown in late March 2020 and started opening the economy in early August 2020.
acknowledge other packages like Taka five thousand crore for the farm sector, three thousand crore revolving package for the vulnerable entrepreneurs belonging to the MFI sector, the pre-shipment credit of another five thousand crore is also meant for SMEs of various kinds including the modern farmers involved in poultry, fisheries and livestock enterprises. And Bangladesh Bank was also quick to come up with circular with clear directives to Banks and NBFI's regarding how to implement the said stimulus package for SMEs (SMESPD Circular 01 of Bangladesh Bank, 13 April 2020). The stimulus package intends to provide special working capital facility for CMSMEs under the financial incentive package declared by GoB. Key characteristics of the stimulus package (as per the original circular of SMESPD, Bangladesh Bank dated 13 April 2020) are given below:

* While the package will be available for 3 years, a single entrepreneur will be eligible only once to get a 1-year loan under this package.

* Only pandemic affected CMSMEs are eligible for these loans and they will be selected based on bank-customer relationships.

While the provisions of the package are obviously timely and pragmatic (given the existing macro-economic situation), Bangladesh Bank went ahead with a flexible approach to ensure that implementation of the stimulus for CMSMEs remain demand-driven and contextual. As a result, the central bank, since mid-April 2020 has come up with 11 more circulars related to implementation of the CMSME stimulus package.

Figure 11: Flexible regulatory approach in implementing CMSME stimulus package by Banks and NBFI's.
Bangladesh Bank established a revolving refinance scheme to supply liquidity to Banks and NBFI especially so that they may have enough to lend to the CMSMEs under the said stimulus package. The central bank has been equally attentive to the interests of the smaller borrowers. Hence, through multiple circulars the central bank directed the financiers to make the process of accessing CMSME credit as easy as possible. These directives included easing of Internal Credit Risk Rating System of Banks (ICRRs), and setting up special helpdesks for borrowers seeking credit under this stimulus package.

Eventually it became evident that banks and NBFI will be preferring to provide credit to medium-sized entrepreneurs over smaller entrepreneurs. Taking this into account, Bangladesh Bank set up a special credit guarantee scheme solely for smaller entrepreneurs (cottage, micro and small entrepreneurs).

To monitor implementation of the CMSME stimulus package, Bangladesh Bank most recently has also directed the financiers to report their progress about providing credit to CMSMEs following a preset template. Through this initiative the central bank will be able to track progress utilizing disaggregated information (how much CMSME credit is disbursed in which areas and to what type of CMSMEs etc.).

Amid growing concerns about timely implementation of the CMSME stimulus package, Bangladesh Bank has further eased certain requirements for Banks and NBFI. For example, these financiers no longer need to disburse a mandatory share of the credit in rural areas. Requirements regarding following rigid ratios of manufacturing and service sector credits to total credit disbursed have also been revoked.

It is obviously too early to assess the positive effects of Bangladesh Bank’s timely and flexible approach to safeguarding SME sector of the country. But still, it may be inferred that the financial sector regulator has chosen the most prudent approach given the current unprecedented situation. This indeed is a time for ‘learning by doing’. However, recent data from Bangladesh Bank reveals a significant change in CMSME finance trends from pre-pandemic period to post-pandemic period. Apparently share of rural CMSME credit has increased since the introduction of the stimulus package.

Figure 12 shows that as a whole- credit to rural CMSMEs as share of total CMSME credit has increased from 21 percent to 23 percent from the first quarter of 2020 to the second quarter of 2020. There is similarly significant growth for all the sub-sectors (i.e., cottage, micro, small and medium enterprises).

While stakeholders in general are acknowledging the initiatives undertaken by GoB and Bangladesh Bank to safeguard SME sector during the pandemic, they are also concerned that the implementation of the stimulus package may prove to be more challenging than initially perceived (The Business Standard, 23 June 2020). It has been inferred by many stakeholders that despite significant easing of requirements, smaller entrepreneurs may still find it difficult to access much needed formal finance due to lack of cooperation from the Banks and NBFI. More importantly, many feel that the government should...
provide further insight and guidance to the sector stakeholders to ensure better implementation of the stimulus package (ibid). In fact, many of the complex rules and regulations deserve to be simplified for the SMEs in particular to help them access the fund without much of a hassle. Indeed, the easing of the rules and regulations can itself be deemed as real stimulus by the SMEs. Latest data regarding disbursement of stimulus package credit to different sectors proves these fears to be true at least to a significant extent. For example, so far only 20 percent of the target regarding agriculture and SME credit disbursement has been achieved, whereas the ratio for larger industries has exceeded 70 percent.

4. Ways Forward

On the one hand, it is yet to be the time to predict an end to the ongoing global pandemic. And on the other hand, economies across the world need to reopen under the ‘new normal’ circumstances to safeguard macroeconomic achievements and to ensure resilience to further shocks. In this context, Bangladesh like every other country has started to gradually open up economic activities and has started implementing different policy interventions to protect different sectors and sub-sectors of the economy.

SME Policy Response: Reviewing Recent International Trends

Due to obvious reasons, it is acceptable that size of stimulus package for Bangladesh SMEs be smaller compared to that of larger and/or more advanced economies such as Malaysia, Thailand, and neighboring India (size of Bangladesh SME stimulus package is USD 2.35 billion, and that of the said countries are USD 2.31 billion, USD 15.4 billion, and USD 13.0 billion respectively). However, the share of SME in total stimulus package (the ratio) should be more or less equal for all these countries (considering macroeconomic significance of SME sector for all of these comparable countries). This perhaps is where Bangladesh may be lagging.

Figure 13 shows that Bangladesh intends to invest a meager 22 percent of the total stimulus package for its SME sector which is pretty close to Malaysia’s 24 percent. However, the country is significantly lagging compared to Thailand and India (33 percent and 38 percent respectively). This implies that most probably Bangladesh could have committed (financially) a bit more to safeguard its SME sector especially taking into account the contribution of the sector in employment generation and boosting domestic demand.

Like most other countries across the globe, Bangladesh has declared stimulus package for its SME sector to cope with the pandemic-induced economic slowdown and the

Figure 13: Share (%) of SME sector in total stimulus packages declared by Bangladesh and other comparable countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Share (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: LightCastle Partners, 2020

While it is not the time yet to draw conclusions about the effects of CMSME stimulus package, the very nature of the current problem does not allow a ‘wait and see’ approach either. Along with ‘learning by doing’ on its own, Bangladesh also needs to review and analyze the SME policy responses of other countries.
package is comprised of flexible low-cost loans, refinancing schemes, and working capital finance. But the review by OECD of country responses to foster SME resilience has mapped a plethora of response measures that may be considered by Bangladesh policymakers and stakeholders in the coming days. Policy responses summarized by the said OECD report and having significant implications for Bangladesh SMEs safeguarding in the coming days are presented below:

Policy Recommendations: Learning From Own Experience

Along with learning from the international experiments, Bangladesh has a reach pool of experience of its own to learn from. These could contribute towards better coping with the prevailing challenges. Some of those are discussed here:

**Table 2: Policy response in other countries to safeguard SME sector (OECD, 2020) and their implications for Bangladesh**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy response in other countries</th>
<th>Implications for Bangladesh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01) Introduction of work time shortening, temporary layoff, sick leave</td>
<td>Could be useful in case a second wave of COVID-19 attack takes place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02) Tax deferrals and public procurements</td>
<td>Could be especially useful in ensuring liquidity supply to smaller enterprises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03) Direct lending by public institutions and/or grants and subsidies</td>
<td>May be difficult given the resource constraints. But could be piloted for SMEs with comparatively constrained access to formal finance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04) Coming up with structural policies to help SMEs adopt new working methods</td>
<td>This is a must for safeguarding and promoting Bangladesh SMEs in the coming years (the ongoing digitization drive by GoB will ensure comparative advantage).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05) Monitoring impacts and enhancing governance</td>
<td>Bangladesh lacks significantly in terms of maintaining SME database. This could be an opportunity to improve this, particularly when there is enough scope of using Artificial Intelligence for smart data management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be adequately evident from Table 2 that Bangladesh has scope to go well beyond implementing stimulus packages to safeguard its SME sectors. Of course, impacts of these innovative policy measures are yet to be fully visible. But still Bangladesh may attempt to replicate few of these (upon contextualization) and the pilot them to see what works and what does not. A somewhat similar policy approach taken by Bangladesh Bank during the last global financial crisis ensured resilience and robust growth amid global economic slowdown.

**SME Policy 2019: Sticking To The Plan**

GoB’s SME policy 2019 has rightly identified major challenges to SME sector growth in the country to be- 01) lack of access to finance, 02) inadequate access to technology and innovations, 03) inadequate market linkage, 04) insufficient human resource development, 05) low accessibility and low availability of business support services. The policy has clear directives and actionable programs to overcome these challenges. While these were relevant and timely before the pandemic, they have become even more so in the current situation.
Hence, stakeholders should be most diligent in translating the commitments of this policy into viable actions with desirable outcomes.

**Innovative Collaboration: Utilizing The Bank-MFI Linkage Program**

Banks and larger NBIs will remain to be less inclined to extend services to SMEs (especially to smaller ones located in hard-to-reach areas). MFIs on the other hand, have a proven track record of providing doorstep services to the hardworking and entrepreneurial marginal people across the country. They have also been successfully contributing to enhancing financial inclusion through working jointly with formal financial institutions. Policy makers could definitely opt for relying more on such bank-MFI linkage programs to extend finance and related supports to SMEs in the country.

**Accelerating The Digital Drive: DFS As The New Way**

Growth of Digital Financial Service (DFS) especially in the form of Mobile Financial Service and Agent Banking has been exemplary in Bangladesh. As the central bank of the country embraced digital financial inclusion early on (over a decade ago), Bangladesh is already enjoying the fruits of digital finance. Reports from the grassroots suggest that people have been relying more on DFS during the pandemic-induced partial lockdown. Policy makers must opt to take this opportunity and further utilize digital finance to ensure adequate support the SMEs in the country.

**Tracking Implementation: Improving Governance**

SME sector of the country has a complex composition and involves numerous stakeholder groups (from both public and private ends). While the central bank has already taken some initiative to monitor progress of implementation of the CMSME stimulus package, there is still much scope of improvement here. For example, a digital dashboard could be developed to ensure real time tracking of CMSME loan disbursement and recovery across the country. Top level policy makers could then easily monitor progress and revise/augment strategies as and when necessary. The central bank already has some experience regarding this and could build upon it.

**Enhancing Export Orientation: Looking Further Outward**

While the SME sector of Bangladesh is already earning significant amount of foreign exchange through exports, there still remains a lot of scope to enhance exports. Of all the small enterprises 26 percent are engaged in export and the ratio for medium enterprises is 48 percent. In the post-pandemic period, many economies (advanced and developing alike) will be looking to new sources of import due changed geo-political realities. Bangladesh can harness this opportunity and build capacity of its SME sector to boost export earnings. For that matter, there should be a more focused attention on better implementation of the stimulus for pre-shipment backward and forward linked SMEs involved in dyeing, washing, labeling, printing, packaging etc. In addition, the regulator should facilitate new kind of digital financing for the value chains in food processing including cold-chains and as well for all kinds of digital transformation by investing in people for e-learning, e-governance, e-health, e-commerce and out-sourcing etc. where smaller startups belonging to SMEs dominate.

**Concluding Remarks**

Bangladesh economy has done remarkably well especially over the last decade or so and a significant share of the credit for this may be attributed to this sector. However, the scope for further robust and sustainable growth of SMEs has already been identified as a pre-requisite to attaining the macroeconomic objectives. While the effect of the pandemic is yet to be as severe for Bangladesh economy as it has been for many others, the growth trajectory is facing a critical bump. And hence, accelerated and well-managed growth of SME sector has become even more critical for the country. Of course, the sector has started enjoying prudent policy attention most recently. Yet, stakeholders need to be as collaborative, coordinated and innovative as possible to translate these commitments into desired outcomes. Bangladesh is indeed a social laboratory for innovation, particularly in sustainable and inclusive financing. Let us hope it continues to remain so by riding on the success of SMEs which have huge potentials. Let these potentials be transformed into realities in the post-pandemic environment which may create new opportunities for small and medium entrepreneurs at large.
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My organization supports SMEs from the craft sector in the German state of Baden-Württemberg. In Germany, the craft sector does not only include traditional craft companies but many highly innovative, internationally active companies from a diverse range of sectors including the building sector, suppliers from the metal sector, producers of machines and tools and producers of medical appliances. Baden-Württemberg is a region in southwest Germany bordering France and Switzerland, which is now ranked as one of the leading regions in Europe in terms of wealth, innovation, and internationalization. It may be known internationally as the home of many large companies and renowned brand names such as Mercedes (Daimler), Porsche, Bosch or SAP. But it is dominated by a large amount of internationalized and innovative small and medium-sized companies, many of which are hidden champions and leaders in their respective market niche.

The region’s history has some interesting lessons for developing countries as well. Historically, it was split up into many small territories, which created a culturally diverse landscape but hindered economic development, so that in the early 19th century, it was one of the poorer regions in Europe that many people left to go to more prospering German regions or to go overseas. Since then, a combination of government-driven development programs and innovative entrepreneurs have helped to turn the region from a poorhouse to one of the wealthiest regions in the world. After the terror of the Nazi period and the destruction of the Second World War, the region profited from the export driven boom of the 1950s and 1960s and turned into the center of the car and machine-building industries in Germany.

Although Baden-Württemberg has relatively few natural resources compared to other regions of Germany and was not in the center of major traffic routes, the state is among the most prosperous and wealthiest regions in Europe, with a low unemployment rate. A number of well-known enterprises are headquartered in the state. Now, the Southwest of Germany is leading the European region in respect to economic strength, research development and economic growth, and is seen as the home of the German ‘Mittelstand’ (family-run SMEs). Globalization has changed the rules of the game for those SMEs as well. Today, many of them have turned into small global players with customers and cooperation partners around the world.

Take the mechanical engineering sector, for example: It employs approximately 270,000 people in this region. Around one-third of all mechanical products labelled “Made in Germany” are produced here, making the region the center of mechanical engineering in Germany. The Baden-Württemberg mechanical engineering industry is a medium-sized sector par excellence. Over 70% of all employees work in companies comprising a workforce of less than 1,000. Trumpf, Voith, Müller Weingarten, Schuler, Maho or Heidelberg Druckmaschinen are just a few examples of this broad range of innovative mechanical engineering companies.

My organization, Handwerk International Baden-Württemberg, supports the internationalization of the region’s craft sector for the Baden-Württembergische Handwerkstag, which involves 8 chambers of craft industries, 70 professional associations and 125,000 member companies in Baden-Württemberg. Our membership base comprises of approximately 3,000 companies from the building and construction sector and approximately 4,000 companies from metal working/machinery, electronics and electro-technology.

Handwerk International offers consultancy for the entire internationalization process, including for seminars, workshops and participation in trade fairs, business missions, matchmakings and European Projects. Handwerk International is part of the Enterprise Europe Network (EEN), with 600 partners in over 50 countries and 10 partners in Baden-Württemberg, co-financed by the European Union (EU) Commission. Our contact points provide information and provide advice to EU companies on different matters relevant to SMEs in the EU.

The EEN network also organizes many matchmaking events. During the COVID-19 crisis, events went virtual and it thus became accessible for non-European participants who could not travel to Europe due to travel and financial restrictions. Five to 8% of craft companies are now engaged in direct international activities.
Lessons to be learned from the COVID crisis in Europe and Germany – how to make SMEs more resilient for the future

What lessons can be learnt from the Coronavirus crisis? First of all: Expect the unexpected! While many experts warned that a global pandemic may be imminent, the timing and concrete circumstances could not have been predicted, as it also hit the world at a time when global cooperation itself was in a crisis due to political circumstances hostile to international cooperation in many key countries, such as the USA. How the world will get through the COVID-19 crisis and what the world will look like after the crisis is still hard to diagnose. The following are a few key factors that will affect SMEs in the coming days.

Is the current crisis of globalization just a bump in the road or does it signal the end of an era? While the pandemic shows clearly how interdependent and ‘small’ our world has become and how events in formerly far away areas can affect anybody, the response up to now lacks the awareness that global challenges require a global response. The way globalization will proceed or whether there will be a roll-back will severely affect SMEs in every sector. How long the crisis will last and how long big companies will be under severe spending constraints, affecting their employees and SMEs’ wealth in their regional ecosystems, will also be crucial for SMEs. Will the pressure for secure, reliable supply chains be stronger or will the cost-cutters dominate? Which sectors (and regions) will profit, and which sectors will suffer mid- and long-term? Will globalization bounce back or will the trend to renationalize be strengthened by the COVID-19 crisis?

The digital revolution was certainly accelerated by the coronavirus. In my region, the Corona-crisis has accelerated Digitization and has forced it on every sector and part of the population: Companies big or small turned to home office work within days. All personal meetings turned into online meetings within a week. Service and maintenance personnel were not able to cross borders from one day to the next. All non-essential purchases had to be done online as all stores, except for grocery stores, were closed. After the reopening, even your grandmother now has to register online to go to the zoo!

At this point in my country, it is hard to forecast how much of this will be a permanent development or if the desire for personal interaction and regional proximity will rebound after the crisis: Which digital business models will be temporary during the crisis? Which digital business models will stay after the COVID-19 crisis? And which business models will be evolving in response to the crisis?

Sustainability was a megatrend, at least in the rich industrialized countries and it was also a political priority, at least in Europe, as the Green deal of the EU shows. Many politicians in Europe, including the EU Commission, emphasize that the recovery after the Corona-crisis should be a green recovery that supports the transformation of the European economy towards sustainability. Is this a realistic notion that creates business and funding opportunities for SMEs, or will the coronavirus refocus our agenda towards a necessary speedy recovery with sustainability being pushed to the back-seat as more urgent economic needs take the front seat? All of these trends will have profound effects on SMEs, on possible business models and on the way they could connect and profit concerning global markets and international value chains.

SMEs will have to make day-to-day business decisions, although it may take a while before we understand in which business environment they will have to operate in during and after the Corona-crisis.

How can SMEs receive support and be prepared for this uncertain future? As bigger companies, governments and business support institutions will be unable to give definite answers to these questions, the approach in Europe right now is to support the resilience of SMEs to adjust and survive under rapidly changing framework conditions. This sounds great in theory, but how can this be translated into support activities which really benefit SMEs?

Rebuilding SMEs and a SME-friendly ecosystem after the COVID crisis

Resilience may be the goal everybody can agree on, but what is resilience and how can we support resilience in SMEs?

For starters, it may be important to look at key characteristics that differentiate SMEs from large companies. They have fewer resources (both concerning personnel and finances), but they also have a lower base of fixed costs, making it possible for them to react quicker to changing circumstances than bigger companies.

SMEs, when left on their own, have less political influence than larger companies. Taken together, however, they employ a larger share of the workforce than the big multinational companies. In addition, they are often much better connected to their regional ecosystem than larger companies are.

They often have less structure and no HR, legal or R&D department. This however, often also means that they
have shorter lines of communication with management (or just one boss), who is still very much involved in day-to-day business activities and who still knows key customers and cooperation partners in person.

All these aspects bring not only disadvantages but also advantages. History has shown that SMEs can create a crowd intelligence that can adjust to changing framework conditions faster than the big companies, which often behave like oil tankers that take quite a long distance to change course or stop. If size is the only aspect that matters concerning economic development, than Kodak would still be the leading company selling cameras (they missed the trend of digital cameras), IBM would still be leading the sales charts for personal computers, PanAm would dominate the airline industry, India would be the richest country on earth and Luxembourg (with only about half a million inhabitants) would be one of the poorest countries in Europe (instead, Luxembourg is one of the richest European countries). Size matters, but it can also make the giants miss the next trend or react to it with pseudo-activities, leaving the playing field to the new kid on the block that just does what other players are only talking about in large conventions and task forces.

SME-friendly ecosystems should thus treasure the advantages that SMEs have in contrast to large companies and try to compensate for some of the disadvantages that their smallness brings. Their contribution to economic development should be seen as more than just being the first stage of bigger, multinational companies. An SME-friendly ecosystem can create an economy which is more resilient and which can adopt to changes more easily than an economy dominated by big multinational companies that can move their facilities to other countries quickly.

Which features define an SME-friendly ecosystem?

With no legal department, SMEs need transparent and easy to understand laws, regulations and rules which allow them to focus on what they are best in. They need innovation support that allows them to bring new ideas to their market with as little red tape as possible, and with support programs that are easy to access without much bureaucratic burden. As said before, most of all, they need a support system that treasures SMEs as being a crucial contributor to economic development in their own right, and not just as aspiring big companies which have failed their mission if they don’t grow to be the next big company quickly.

Given the fact that rules and support systems can be simplified but for various reasons will remain complex, SMEs need support systems which can help SMEs through the bureaucratic challenges they face, and which can advocate further steps towards an SME-friendly environment, as most political decision-makers are too far away from the SME world to understand what it takes to allow them to prosper.

Which SMEs should be supported?

Picking the next winner in a rapidly changing environment is difficult. There is no one-size-fits-all approach to create the next Mercedes or Google.

But SME support systems can support SMEs in building their own way into the future, which does not duplicate what was done yesterday but helps them find their own answers to today’s and tomorrow’s challenges. In my region, SMEs are hardly ever succeeding by being cheaper than their international competitors, as Germany has higher wages and higher overall costs than most of its international competitors. SMEs in my region thrive when they find their own market niche, where they can be special enough to make the customer choose them over their competitors. Low-cost countries may be lured into going the other way and compete by offering cheaper prices than their international competitors. In the long run, however, low cost countries want (and need) to increase their standard of living as well and are always threatened by being outcompeted by the next competitor who does things even cheaper than they do.

I want to give two short examples of how SMEs in my region have developed by finding the market niche that makes them special.

The church-tower clock company

One of our member companies is situated in the rural black forest region. It is an established family company, which was focused on building high-quality church-tower clocks for generations. In Germany, however, very few church-towers that need clocks are still built, as a stagnating and less religious population needs few new neighborhoods that need new churches. The company managed to turn itself into one of the leading specialists in building large tower clocks world-wide: From train station clocks in Ukraine to the Mecca-clock right next to the Kaaba in Mecca. For this project, they compensated their smallness by cooperating with many other companies to create not just a clock but an accurate time measurement system with the largest tower clock in the world.

The knitting company

Another of our member companies used to knit fabric and lost out to cheaper international competition. It is now specialized in knitting metal which delivers high-quality, light-weight but durable and reliable components for the car and medical devices sector.
Both companies are small hidden champions which created their own market niche. They, as most of our member companies, did not and don’t want to grow into large multinational companies. They are still family owned and are rooted in their region, and have a close and good relationship with their employees. Their relative smallness is also one of their biggest assets: They can react quickly to changing market conditions and have loyal, highly-qualified personnel, which create a high level of customer loyalty as well.

These types of companies are the core of the economic success of my region. But these types of companies still need support as they continuously need to adjust to rapidly changing framework conditions.

Support-needs of SMEs

What practical support do SMEs need? SMEs need hands-on practical support which is easy to access. With new products and services, they need unbureaucratic financial support to get through the valley of death that each innovation has to overcome. They need support to connect with other SMEs, suitable innovation agencies, cluster organizations and larger companies to compensate for the disadvantages of their size. They also need training and support to cope with all issues that they are not specialized in and can’t afford their own specialists in (e.g. legal issues, export know-how, tax issues etc).

SMEs also need support in seeing beyond the day-to-day-business which is normally taking up all their time. We thus developed a ten-step plan that should support our SMEs in a more long-term approach to international success, which includes the following steps and helps them to establish a different business culture:

1) Analysis of strength and weakness 2) Analysis of chances and risks 3) Checklist for international business success 4) Market selection 5) Analysis of framework conditions 6) Selection of goals 7) Resources 8) Analysis of possible tasks 9) Selection of Tasks 10) Implementation and performance review. Most of our companies are good in their core business but need support to have a more strategic approach to their business activities, and they need to learn how to better present what makes them special to the outside world and to their own employees.

How can SMEs thrive after the Coronavirus crisis?

In principle, the post-Corona world will not be all that different from the pre-Corona-world. The future was uncertain in all the ages. This may come as less as a surprise in Bangladesh than in Germany, where SMEs are more used to working in a predictable, secure environment. But there were many cracks in the wall even before COVID-19 hit. How mobility will work in the future, for example, affects my region in a very substantial way, as the car industry is one of the main industries in my region, with many SMEs depending on the car industry as customers. Climate change may have profound effects on framework conditions of SMEs, as much as the way globalization will go (or be reversed) affects SMEs in our export-driven economy. The coronavirus crisis has made uncertainty all the more obvious but has not invented uncertainty.

How can SMEs react to or anticipate these uncertain developments? Like everybody else, SMEs need to keep their ears to the ground. As they are smaller and are not as omnipresent as multinational companies, they need to connect and network to have an early warning system. They need to build their capacity to prepare for different scenarios of what the future will bring, and they need to learn to think beyond their day-to-day business and reflect on how to take advantage of potential business opportunities and prepare for potential threats to their current business. As they are normally fully involved in their day-to-day business, they need a SME-friendly support system to stay ahead of the curve and still survive the valley of death that every innovation and new business model has to face before they can live off their new business ideas. The organizations and financial support schemes for SMEs need to accept the risk that not all SMEs and their business ideas will flourish and allow for the failure of some SMEs as well, instead of setting up bureaucratic walls which many SMEs will not be able to climb, even those who have the best ideas in their core business.

Support for SMEs in a period dominated by insecurity? Some European ideas

Europe may have some best practice cases for such a system but also some experiences on how not to organize this, so let’s have a short look at what worked in Germany and Europe during the crisis and what is planned for the future:

Secure the short-time survival of SMEs in the lock-down-period, e.g. in Germany /Europe by:

• Wage payment programs by the government (Kurzarbeitsgeld). The German system of paying a part of employees’ salary when companies cannot employ them for a medium-range period in an acute crisis had already paid off in the financial crisis of 2008, when it prevented mass unemployment.
and a deep economic crisis. Although it may cost the government a lot of money in the short run, it is still much better to pay money to keep people at work than paying unemployment or welfare benefits for a longer period. It also keeps domestic demand from falling and thus prevents a deeper economic crisis, which is more costly to public finances with an eroding tax base.

- Immediate, unbureaucratic financial support by regional, national and European programmes. Immediate Corona-support programmes for SMEs helped a large number of SMEs to survive and was also eventually a better deal for public finances than welfare payments, higher unemployment and collapsing domestic demand. The degree of bureaucratic hurdles to get the payment differed in different European countries. The German case shows that unbureaucratic help invites some fraud, but that also may be easier to live with than the disappearance of many otherwise healthy SMEs.

**Strengthen the resilience of SMEs by:**

- Assessing the immediate and longer-term needs of SMEs challenged by the COVID-19 crisis
- Assisting SMEs to pivot (transform from one business model to a new one)
- Providing tailored advice and support to operate successfully in a competitive world market
- Creating, strengthening and connecting adequate support structures at the local, regional, national and international level (e.g. the Enterprise Europe Network in Europe, linking regional host-organisations with a European support structure).

Although this all sound like a good plan, supported also by the EU that sees strengthening SMEs as a key priority of its activities in the next years, it remains to be seen how successfully this can be translated into support programs that really help SMEs. It will be crucial to translate these concepts into measures that are adequate for SMEs and which will be in the SMEs’ language. The closer the support is to the reality of SMEs, the more likely it will benefit them and the larger economy. Organizations representing the SMEs’ interests, like chambers, will certainly have to fight for SME-friendly framework conditions of these support programs at all levels of government.

The reward for such an SME-friendly ecosystem will be a much more flexible and resilient economy that does not only depend on a few big multinational companies, which are often only yesterday’s winners, but which may not have the fresh ideas that will succeed in the future.

My region is a very good example of this. Mr. Daimler and Mr. Benz, almost at the same time, both invented the car in two major cities in Baden-Württemberg in the 19th century. Gottlieb Daimler did this in a small shed in my hometown, not as an employee of a big company. The same was the case for many other inventions done in my region. The company he started is now one of the largest premium car producers of the world, with many innovative SMEs being suppliers to this company (Mercedes). Today, it is unclear how well the company will transform in the period that comes after the combustion engine, as other companies (such as Tesla) have been quicker in developing electric cars. The next Gottlieb Daimler may live in Bangladesh and may already have the idea that he or she is ready to realize. Let’s give him or her a helping hand.
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