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The African Media Barometer (AMB)

The African Media Barometer (AMB) is an in-depth and comprehensive description and measurement system for national media environments on the African continent. Unlike other press surveys or media indices the AMB is a self-assessment exercise based on homegrown criteria derived from African Protocols and Declarations like the “Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression in Africa” (2002) by the “African Commission for Human and Peoples’ Rights”. The instrument was jointly developed by fesmedia Africa, the Media Project of the Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) in Africa, and the Media Institute of Southern Africa (MISA) in 2004.

The African Media Barometer is an analytical exercise to measure the media situation in a given country which at the same time serves as a practical lobbying tool for media reform. Its results are presented to the public of the respective country to push for an improvement of the media situation using the AU-Declaration and other African standards as benchmarks. The recommendations of the AMB-reports are then integrated into the work of the 19 country offices of the Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation (FES) in sub-Sahara Africa and into the advocacy efforts of other local media organizations like the Media Institute of Southern Africa.

Methodology and Scoring System

Every two to three years a panel of 10-12 experts, consisting of at least five media practitioners and five representatives from civil society, meets to assess the media situation in their own country. For 1 1/2 days they discuss the national media environment according to 45 predetermined indicators. The discussion and scoring is moderated by an independent consultant who also edits the AMB- report. After the discussion of one indicator panel members allocate their individual scores to that respective indicator in an anonymous vote according to the following scale:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator
The sum of all individual indicator scores will be divided by the number of panel members to determine the average score for each indicator. These average indicator scores are added up to form average sector scores which then make up the overall country score.

**Outcome**

The final, qualitative report summarizes the general content of the discussion and provides the average score for each indicator plus sector scores and overall country score. In the report panellists are not quoted by name to protect them from possible repercussions. Over time the biennial or tri-annual reports are measuring the media development in that particular country and should form the basis for a political discussion on media reform.

In countries where English is not the official language the report is published in a bilingual edition.

Implementing the African Media Barometer the offices of the Friedrich-Ebert-Foundation (FES) and - in SADC countries the Media Institute of Southern Africa (MISA) - only serve as a convener of the panel and as guarantor of the methodology. The content of the discussion and the report is owned by the panel of local experts and does not represent or reflect the view of FES or MISA.

At the end of 2008 the indicators were reviewed, amended and some new ones were added to address the rapid developments in Information Communication Technology.

By the end of 2010 the African Media Barometer had been held in 27 African countries, in some of them already for the third time.
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Executive Summary

Since independence in 1968, Mauritius has developed from a low-income, agriculturally based economy to a middle-income diversified economy with growing industrial, financial, and tourist sectors. The annual growth of this tropical paradise has been steady at the rate of 5 to 6 percent per annum. This remarkable achievement is reflected by more equitable income distribution, increased life expectancy, lowered infant mortality, and a much-improved infrastructure.

The economy is dependent on sugar production, tourism, textile manufacturing, clothing, and in recent years on financial services. Sugarcane is grown on about 90% of the cultivated land area and accounts for 15% of export earnings. The country is expanding into fish processing, information and communications technology, and hospitality and property development.

The government’s development strategy centres on creating vertical and horizontal clusters of development in these sectors. Mauritius has attracted more than 32,000 offshore entities - many aimed at commerce in India, South Africa, and China. Investment in the banking sector alone has reached over $1 billion. Mauritius, with its strong textile sector, has been well poised to take advantage of the Africa Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA).

In line with the flourishing economy, the media industry has also grown in size and diversity over the last decade and is accessible and affordable throughout the country.

In all three sectors – print, electronic and broadcasting - there are a wide variety of media products to choose from.

The Mauritius Broadcasting Corporation has one television station and 6 radio stations - MBC Radio Maurice, MBC Radio Mauritius, MBC Kool FM, MBC Taal FM, MBC Best FM (recently launched) and MBC World Hit Radio. MBCTV runs the following television channels: MBC 1, MBC 2, MBC 3, the Knowledge Channel and MBC Movies Channel. In October 2010, Prime Minister Dr Navin Ramgoolam launched the Mandarin Channel, Marathi Channel, Tamil Channel, Telugu Channel, Urdu Channel and the Tourism and Culture Channel. It is very likely that a Bhojpuri channel will soon be launched.

The three private commercial radio stations operating in Mauritius are Radio Plus, Radio One and Top FM. There are no community radio stations in Mauritius.
In all there are six dailies in Mauritius – *L’Express, Le Matinal, Le Défi Quotidien* and *Le Socialiste* which are predominantly French with a few pieces in English. *The Independent* is the only paper published entirely in English. *Le Mauricien* – another French daily - goes out onto the streets every afternoon.

*Business Magazine, Scope Capital* and *Mauritius Times* are weekly magazines that hit the streets every Wednesday. *News on Sunday, Impact* and *The Observer* appear on Fridays. There are 8 weekend papers namely - *Le Défi Plus, Samedi Plus, Le Journal du Samedi, Week-end, 5 Plus Dimanche, Le Dimanche, STAR* and *l’Express Dimanche*.

British American Investment - a new player in the country’s media market - has bought three existing titles: *Le Dimanche, STAR* and *Impact News*, through its sister company Yukondale Company Limited. *Impact News*, formerly a tabloid targeting the Muslim community, has been revamped as *Impact Magazine*, with the corporate sector as its main readership. In July 2009, Yukondale launched an entirely English online daily, and is planning to launch a new French language daily too.

Radio is the most popular media product in Mauritius, followed closely by specific television programmes.

Expansion in the media sector has provided journalists the opportunity to improve their bargaining power and their positions within organisations. Salaries have risen and working conditions at some of the media are getting even better. Financial remuneration in the media industry is largely dependent on profile, branding and the negotiation skills of journalists.

Mauritius went through a decade during which it operated on a patronage system. All sectors thrived on this mode of operation, where people were promoted or got jobs based on who they were linked to. Although this started changing about two years ago, it is common for Mauritians to ingratiate themselves with people in authority in the hope that they will benefit in some way. Loyalty to the ruling party and those in power is still rewarded with a good job or government contracts.

Freedom of expression has always been guaranteed under Section 12 of the Constitution of Mauritius. Within the same section, limitations are possible in the “interests of defence, public safety, public order, public morality or public health.” All of these are rather broad terms that are open for interpretation.

Mauritians tend to be rather subdued for a variety of reasons. Public sector workers were instructed through a memo generated in the Prime Minister’s office to refrain from speaking to the media or the public without official authorisation.
It is equally difficult for ordinary citizens to speak out without bearing possible consequences, as Mauritius is a small island where people are familiar with each other. Government does not resort to physical threats or violence to subdue citizens. On the contrary, the State uses financial pressure to keep people in tow. People risk the possibility of suspension, losing their jobs, facing interdicts or having government contracts withdrawn if they step out of line.

Furthermore, Mauritians do not have a culture of contesting the state. If confrontation takes place, it is usually in situations where there is no possibility of conflict. People speak out during talk shows on private radio stations and other forums where they are able to hide behind the cloak of anonymity.

The State has skilfully used the main news bulletin of the day to reinforce its position of power. During the last election, there was careful editing and a great deal of manipulation of imagery to portray the Prime Minister in the best way possible. He was always portrayed in a positive light – kissing babies and children, attending religious festivals, praying and engaging in intellectual debate. His main rival – Paul Berenger – on the other hand, was always shown in the worst possible light, saying innocuous things at the same event, or being abusive.

The ruling party also uses state television or radio to start discussions around certain issues and steers people to think in a certain way. If the State wants to effectively communicate with the citizenry it will broadcast its message on the 7:30pm news on television, as 70 percent of Mauritians tune in to the main news bulletin of the day.
SECTOR 1:

Freedom of expression, including freedom of the media, is effectively protected and promoted.
Freedom of expression, including freedom of the media, is effectively protected and promoted.

1.1 Freedom of expression, including freedom of the media, is guaranteed in the constitution and supported by other pieces of legislation.

Freedom of expression has always been guaranteed in the constitution of Mauritius.

Section 12(1) of the Constitution of Mauritius states that:
“except with his own consent, no person shall be hindered in the enjoyment of his freedom of expression, that is to say, freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart ideas and information without interference, and freedom from interference with his correspondence.”

Within Section 12 there is a subsection that limits this right:
Nothing contained in or done under the authority of any law shall be held to be inconsistent with or in contravention of this section to the extent that the law in question makes provision:
“in the interests of defence, public safety, public order, public morality or public health; for the purpose of protecting the reputations, rights and freedoms of other persons or the private lives of persons concerned in legal proceedings, preventing the disclosure of information received in confidence, maintaining the authority and independence of the courts, or regulating the technical administration of the technical operation of telephony, telegraphy, posts, wireless broadcasting, television, public exhibitions or public entertainments; or for the imposition of restrictions upon public officers, except so far as that provision or, as the case may be, the thing done under its authority is shown not to be reasonably justifiable in a democratic society.”

So, while freedom of expression which includes freedom of the media is generally guaranteed under the Constitution of Mauritius, there are some worrying potential restrictions on the use of this right. For example, limitations to the right to freedom of expression are possible in the interest of “public morality”. This is a very vague concept, open to all sorts of interpretation.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.4 (2008 = 2.4)

1.2 The right to freedom of expression is practised and citizens, including journalists, are asserting their rights without fear.

It is difficult to be outspoken in Mauritius because it is a small island where people know each other and are easily identifiable. When citizens choose to voice their opinions, they are more likely to do so off the record – either on private radio stations or within unofficial social settings. The majority of the citizens who call in to private radio stations tend to confine their comment to social or trivial issues.

The right to freedom of expression functions at different levels and is dependent on which of the three sectoral categories Mauritians might find themselves:

- Civil servants are prevented from passing information out to the media or the public at large, by strict regulation.
- Mauritians close to those in power exercise their rights fully and go as far as banning newspapers they consider ‘oppositional’ because they are aware that no punitive measures will be taken against them.
- The citizens who engage through the media will only address trivial issues.

Although Mauritius has its share of outspoken members of society, there is a growing trend towards a more restrained and submissive reaction by citizens to government policies and actions. When approached by the media, the public either remains silent on the issue or opts to go off the record. This trend may be attributable to the State’s growing intolerance to a diversity of views and opinions.

Any criticism of Government is met with the very common - and seemingly popular - same stock response of: ‘you belong to the opposition’.
The head of a consumer protection NGO has always been vocal on a variety of issues, taking particular issue with the price-fixing behaviour of Government in setting the price of fuel every month. The reaction by Government to this criticism was to withdraw funding to the organisation, resulting in the crippling of the NGO. Funding was only resumed when the State was put under pressure by other organisations and members of the public.

Some time ago, the Prime Minister himself publicly told organisations that Amnesty International could criticise government because it relied on donor funding, whereas many other organisations (i.e. those to whom he was directing his speech), were being subsidised by the State (implying that they therefore had no right to criticise Government).

The government does not resort to physical threats or violence but tends to react to criticism by suspending officials working in the public sector, withdrawing funds and contracts from those in the private sector, or issuing interdicts. Indirectly, the consequences of speaking out are thereby inflicted on the individual and sometimes on his or her family members.

Threats against citizens are not always immediate, and they often come in the form of subtle threats or intimations. Many young activists involved in political organisations have to consider their actions, as they face the threat of not securing a job in the future. They are kept under surveillance by the intelligence arm of the police, and their activities are recorded. When they eventually enter the labour market, their job prospects could be hampered by previous “anti-government” activities in which they may have engaged.

The art of remaining silent has become institutionalised. This can be attributed to a memo originating in the Prime Minister’s office, directing all officials in ministries and parastatal bodies not to speak to the media or the public without official authorisation. Maintaining their job security has forced officials at these institutions to comply with this directive.

Academics at the University of Mauritius who were issued with the same directive reacted differently. Acting on his own accord, the then Vice Chancellor of the university - known for his allegiance to the Prime Minister - circulated the memo to his academic staff. Outraged by the infringement on their academic freedom, members of the University of Mauritius Academic Staff Association (UMASA) put pressure on the authorities and the circular had to be withdrawn.

It should be noted that like the Vice Chancellor noted above, many Mauritians will act in this ingratiating manner and undertake actions of their own accord, because they feel that such “acts” will undoubtedly please the Prime Minister and the Government at large.
Scores:

Individual scores:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Country does not meet indicator</th>
<th>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets some aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets most aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>![1]</td>
<td>![2]</td>
<td>![3]</td>
<td>![4]</td>
<td>![5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 3.1 (2008 = 2.8)

1.3 There are no laws restricting freedom of expression such as excessive official secret or libel acts, or laws that unreasonably interfere with the responsibilities of media.

Section 12 (2) (c) of the Constitution provides the constitutional basis for the Official Secrets Act which Mauritius adopted from Britain. It prohibits civil servants from giving information to the public or commenting freely on matters concerning their department. The Public Service Commission (PSC), the body responsible for making appointments to the civil service, reinforces the maintenance of silence through regulations in its Personal Management Manual. The manual restricts all civil servants - including those working for parastatal bodies - from talking to the press, unless authorised by their hierarchical heads.

Civil servants are extremely unlikely to get this authorisation or permission except for the non-controversial things such as the production of a booklet or brochure. This restriction on public servants to talk to the press impacts heavily on journalists, who face heightened challenges in accessing government held information. The only way they can legitimately obtain information is when it is officially released via a press conference or through parliamentary proceedings.

Other legal provisions that limit freedom of expression are those which criminalise defamation. A person found guilty of defamation is liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding one year and a fine not exceeding Rupees 5000 (about 165 US dollars). In addition, such person may be sued for damages.

Several years ago, two journalists working for *Le Marque* were arrested for writing an exposé on corrupt tendering procedures involving the police commissioner at the time. They were arrested under the Official Secrets Act.
The Editor of the weekly *Samedi Plus* was arrested in April 2010 when he wrote an article speculating potential replacements for the position of the Commissioner of Police. The Editor was arrested for dissemination of false news, and eventually released on bail. A few months later the case was thrown out of court.

Two Radio Plus journalists working on an investigative report on the controversial acquisition of land by the Prime Minister were arrested. The acquisition of the land is being disputed on religious and legal grounds. Both journalists are still awaiting trial.

**Scores:**

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.1 (2008 = 1.7)

1.4 Government makes every effort to honour regional and international instruments on freedom of expression and the media.

Mauritius has signed several conventions to honour regional and international instruments on freedom of expression and the media. However, few of them have been ratified and none of these instruments have been domesticated.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1 Country does not meet indicator
2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3 Country meets some aspects of indicator
4 Country meets most aspects of indicator
5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.6 (2008 = n/a)

1.5 Print publications are not required to obtain permission to publish from state authorities.

Launching a newspaper in Mauritius is a simple procedure that only requires the filing of an application form and a once off payment of Rs200 (approximately US$7). This is submitted to the Accountant General.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1 Country does not meet indicator
2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3 Country meets some aspects of indicator
4 Country meets most aspects of indicator
5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 4.8 (2008 = n/a)

1.6 Entry into and practice of the journalistic profession is legally unrestricted.

There are no formal or legal processes restricting citizens from becoming journalists. Anyone can become a journalist. The Government Information Services is the sole authority that distributes press cards to journalists, but many media practitioners practice without this card. However, it is an open secret that
the intelligence branch of the Police – the National Security Services – may check the background of applicants applying for press cards.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 4.9 (2008 = 2.5)

1.7 Confidential sources of information are protected by law and/or the courts.

Legislation in Mauritius does not protect confidential sources of information.

There is always pressure on journalists by state authorities to reveal their sources, but the majority of journalists resist this pressure. However, on occasion the pressure is mounted on journalists in a manner that makes them give in. For example, during their morning programme, two radio journalists stated that the weekly cabinet meeting would not be taking place because the Prime Minister was ill. A short while later, the police barged into the studio and demanded the journalists and editor reveal their source. They were still live on air, and both journalists caved in.

But while there is no legislation protecting confidential sources, there is no legislation forcing journalists to reveal their sources either.

Police often question journalists on the source of information after a story has been published or broadcast, but most journalists categorically state that they will not reveal their source, and the matter is dropped. One panellist related an incident where she was asked to reveal her source. When she hesitated, she was told by the enquiring officer to respond by saying that she did not know anything. Taking this route seems to be the quickest, simplest and most reliable way to end the matter.
Journalists have a stock answer when questioned about confidential documents that they have accessed: ‘the document was received through the post.’

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Country does not meet indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 2.6 (2008 = 1.8)

**1.8 Public information is easily accessible, guaranteed by law, to all citizens.**

Discussions around enacting a Freedom of Information Act have been taking place for over a decade now, but nothing has come of this talk. At one point, the Prime Minister was keen on introducing media legislation and was advised that a freedom of information act would also have to be introduced. This discussion has been abandoned since.

In the absence of a Freedom of Information Act, there is nothing that compels government departments from distributing information to the media or the public. In fact obtaining public information seems to be considered a privilege and not a right. This thinking is exacerbated by the restriction on civil servants to provide information without authorisation.

This tendency to suppress information extends to parliamentary proceedings. When questions are posed in Parliament - specifically to the Prime Minister - there is often the uninformative response of: “the information is being compiled and will be available in the library of the National Assembly.” This information never seems to find its way to the library.

Furthermore, requests for information, even within the National Assembly, are sometimes responded to with arrogance. For example, an opposition Member of Parliament once directed general questions to the Prime Minister regarding state visits to other countries. The Prime Minister responded by insulting the MP, and without providing the requested information. Instead, he pointed out that if
people wanted information, they should follow the news coverage of his visits. The Prime Minister is one of the few Mauritian officials to hold regular briefings with the press before he embarks on a trip.

Several Commissions of Inquiry and fact finding committees have been established through Parliament, but their reports hardly ever make their way to parliament or into the public domain. For example, the Minister of Finance once promised that the report on the Central Bank would be presented to Parliament upon completion. He later denied having made this promise.

The numerous new scandals that are uncovered in Mauritius often diverts attention away from the practice of following up on report findings. As such, it often happens that when they are eventually followed up on, the personnel appointed to produce these reports inform journalists that that the Minister implemented actions in direct contradiction to the recommendations in the report.

Aside from the factors highlighted above, the Annual Audit Report and the Central Statistics Report, which provides data on the economy and other social indicators, are easily accessible by journalists and Mauritian citizens. This information is both credible and of critical importance and is always readily available.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator

2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator

3. Country meets some aspects of indicator

4. Country meets most aspects of indicator

5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

**Average score:** 1.9 (2008 = 1.9)

1.9 **Websites and blogs are not required to register with or obtain permission from state authorities.**

Numerous blogs are being written by journalists and commentators, and all mainstream media have websites set up. Mainstream media have initiated interactive engagements with their readers by inviting them to comment on stories they carry. These comments are then published on their websites.
Registration is not compulsory and permission is not required to set up websites or blogs.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 4.8 (2008 = n/a)

1.10 The state does not seek to block or filter Internet content unless laws provide for restrictions that serve a legitimate interest and are necessary in a democratic society.

Panellists recalled an incident when Facebook was blocked for a day soon after a fake profile of the Prime Minister was created. There was disagreement amongst the panellists with regards to the ability and technical capacity of the State to block material or eavesdrop on cellphone conversations. There was eventual agreement that the State has both the technical and financial resources to block specific websites.

Internet is being successfully used as an alternative form of disseminating information, especially in cases where the government prohibits the distribution of material.

When Jameel Peerally produced Paradis Andey, a documentary on drug abuse in Mauritius, the government was able to prevent the screening of the film on the pretext that authorisation had not been obtained from the Board of Censors. When addressing Parliament, the Minister claimed in his response to questions regarding the screening of Paradis Andey that there was no censorship in Mauritius. Instead, he referred to the classification of films.

Peerally and his colleagues circumvented the attempt to thwart the screening of his documentary film by distributing it through internet websites. The controversy around his documentary created a great deal of media hype, resulting in the
documentary being downloaded and viewed by a large number of Mauritians. It proved to be incredibly popular.

Overall, Government does not see Internet as a threat despite its importance as a new technological medium. As such, it is rare that the State blocks out or filters internet, and the course of action in blocking Facebook was only exercised when the Prime Minister was the focal point of negative attention. These same resources are, however, not used to block out other negative elements on the internet, such as child related pornography.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.7 (2008 = n/a)

**1.11 Civil society in general and media lobby groups actively advance the cause of media freedom.**

There is only one media organisation in Mauritius which is made up of editors so there is very little engagement with civil society around media issues by a media lobby group. Civil society is rather ambivalent towards media freedom issues, and when they do react, it is on an ad hoc basis and not in any sustained manner. Any form of protest against media freedom violations is organised on an ad hoc basis by different individuals who feel passionately about a particular incident. There is no specific organisation dealing with media freedom violations on a regular basis.

At the time of this AMB report, Amnesty International was in the process of establishing a lobbying organisation called Freedom Group, which will actively work on media freedom and freedom of expression issues.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.4 (2008 = 2.7)

1.12 Media legislation evolves from meaningful consultations among state institutions, citizens and interest groups.

Although Mauritius has not enacted any media laws in the last decade, it is apparent that there is no significant consultation with the public around any legislation that has been passed. The State tends to inform citizens about new legislation rather than engaging them in a collaborative way.

The private sector is sometimes consulted before the enactment of legislation that may impact on them, because they are considered a powerful lobby group.

Since 2005, the Prime Minister has noted his desire to introduce a Media Commission Bill. In more recent years, he engaged his advisor, Geoffrey Robertson (Queens Counsel), to look into the drafting of new legislation in this regard. Robertson met with stakeholders from various sectors, including the media, and submitted a report to the PM replete with recommendations.

Robertson is an activist and human rights lawyer who is very progressive in his thinking on media freedom issues, so some panellists believed that it is very unlikely that the State will publicise the report. They also felt that involving principled and prominent individuals to undertake these tasks gives the State legitimacy in that it creates the impression that there has been a consultative and credible process involving citizens.

In many instances, Parliament has appointed a Select Committee to look into critical issues. The Select Committee has the authority to interview people and invite proposals around these issues, and the information is used to compile
reports that are then submitted to the Prime Minister. Some of these committees produce excellent reports that become the basis for sound policy, such as in the case of the Select Committee on Anti-Corruption, which can be credited with the setting up of the Anti-Corruption Agency. In recent years, however, the setting up of Select Committees has been seen as a delay tactic by government to prolong action on crucial matters.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
   - Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
   - Country meets some aspects of indicator
   - Country meets most aspects of indicator
   - Country meets all aspects of the indicator

**Average score:** 1.5 (2008 = n/a)

**Average score for sector 1:** 2.9 (2008 = 2.7)

---

1 For 2009 the indicators were reviewed, amended and some new indicators such as those addressing Information Communication Technology (ICT) were added. Consequently, the comparison of some indicators of the 2005 and 2007 report is not applicable (n/a) in some instances in which the indicator is new or has been amended. Evidently, this has to be taken into account too, when the overall sector scores are compared.
The media landscape, including new media, is characterised by diversity, independence and sustainability.
The media landscape, including new media, is characterised by diversity, independence and sustainability.

2.1 A wide range of sources of information (print, broadcasting, internet) is available and affordable to citizens.

Print
Mauritius has a large variety of print media which is affordable, accessible and available throughout the island. Most of the daily newspapers cost US$0.50 which is the equivalent of two baguettes – the daily supplement of most Mauritians.

There are six main daily papers – *Le Matinal, Le Mauricien, L’Express, Le Socialiste, The Independent* and *Le Défi Quotidien*. *Le Mauricien* is the only daily that goes out in the afternoon, while the others are distributed in the morning. *Le Matinal* is the cheapest of the papers and is widely distributed throughout the island. However, most of the content is pro-Government, specifically regarding the Prime Minister.

With the exception of *L’Express* – which is regarded by the establishment as an anti-government newspaper – all newspapers are available in public libraries throughout the country. The unofficial ban on *L’Express* has been extended to numerous state controlled institutions including parastatals, and the paper is no longer available on Air Mauritius. The reason provided by the airline has been that it is too weighty to carry on flights. *L’Express* is probably the widest read newspaper – especially by professionals and the middle class. It is estimated that 14 percent of the general population that reads a daily paper will purchase *L’Express*.

In Mauritius, newspaper sales do not fully reflect the exact readership, as it is common practice that one paper will be passed around to several people.

If the State wants to effectively communicate with the citizenry, it will broadcast it’s message on the 7:30pm news on television – since 70 percent of Mauritians tune in to the main news bulletin of the day.

More and more youth and Mauritians in the diaspora are connecting to the internet to access their news through online publications.
Radio

Radio is the most affordable and most popular medium in Mauritius, primarily because it is accessible in a wide variety of languages.

The Mauritius Broadcasting Corporation runs six radio stations - MBC Kool FM, MBC Taal FM, MBC World Hit Radio, MBC Best FM (recently launched), MBC Radio Maurice, and MBC Radio Mauritius on AM. There are three private commercial radio stations: Radio One, Radio Plus and Top FM. No community radio stations exist in Mauritius.

The private radio sector in Mauritius can still be considered to be in its infancy. Radio One initially started operating as phone-in service, wherein people would call a number and listen to broadcasts from the station. It had been ready to broadcast and was prepared to do so for some time, but was not granted a licence until eight years ago when two other private radio stations were also granted licences to operate. Radio France International and the British Broadcasting Corporation are accessible through FM or AM respectively.

This resistance by the State to new players in the broadcasting industry was probably due to the realisation that the advent of private radio stations would transform the media landscape. Private radio stations provide an alternative and easily accessible medium through which the general population may express itself and retain anonymity. Opinions that cannot be expressed on state radio can be heard on private radio stations. It also changed the way the print media operate and report, by challenging print media to stretch itself beyond just providing hard news, and providing content with substance, analysis, commentary and more in-depth content.

Currently, each radio station has 3 radio frequencies – one for the south, one for the north and one for the central part of the island. Considering there are 6 radio stations each with 3 frequencies, it is clear that the bandwidth may easily become congested. This fact has presented itself as a convenient excuse for inhibiting new players from entering the broadcast sector, with new applicants being told that there are no more frequencies to allocate.

Television

The Mauritius Broadcasting Corporation runs the following television channels: MBC 1, MBC 2, MBC 3, the Knowledge Channel and MBC Movies Channel. In October 2010, Prime Minister Navin Ramgoolam launched the Mandarin Channel, Marathi Channel, Tamil Channel, Telugu Channel, Urdu Channel and the Tourism and Culture Channel. It is very likely that a Bhojpuri channel will soon be launched.

Every Mauritian citizen who owns a television set is obliged to pay a monthly TV license fee of Rs 100 (US$2.8). This fee is collected through the electricity billing
system. There are no local private TV stations, but international television services such as Canal Plus or the subscription service DSTV are available via satellite.

Legislation that should pave the way for setting up private television stations is rather restrictive in terms of the financial constraints it presents. This may be considered the main hurdle as there are very few Mauritian organisations that can afford to put up the kind of money needed to start a private television station. La Sentinel has the funds and resources to go on air as a private television station, but it has not been granted a television licence yet.

Internet
While there is a desire for Mauritius to be a cyber island, internet is still a new phenomenon in the country. A decade ago, TIME magazine reported Mauritius as being the first island to be completely covered by WIFI. However, internet cafés are mostly located in larger towns. Internet is still expensive and is not as accessible as other mediums. However, more and more young Mauritians are gravitating towards new media which they access through their mobile phones.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.9 (2008 = 3.5)

2.2 Citizens’ access to domestic and international media sources is not restricted by state authorities.

Government wrote to L’Express newspaper to cancel their subscription and demanded their money back because they had paid in advance. In addition, a circular was sent to all government departments, forbidding them from buying L’Express. As mentioned earlier, the newspaper is not available on Air Mauritius flights or in public libraries.

A wide range of international media sources - irrespective of whether they are print, broadcast or internet - are available. Radio France International (RFI) and
British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) broadcast throughout the country via the Mauritius Broadcasting Corporation. RFI is broadcast on one frequency throughout the country.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.5 (2008 = 4.5)

2.3 Efforts are undertaken to increase the scope of circulation of the print media, particularly to rural communities.

As Mauritius is a small island, distribution of newspapers is easy and all the leading newspapers are available everywhere in the country, including on the outlying island of Rodrigues. L'Express and Le Mauricien have introduced weekly pages for each region (North, East, South, West, Rodrigues) to serve local communities better and to increase circulation.

The larger, established mainstream media houses have use distributors who are able to access at least a thousand points of sale.

Smaller media houses are financially inhibited from using these distributors, even as they compete with the larger and better resourced media houses. Furthermore, when concessions are made, they always favour the more established papers. Smaller publications coming out on the same day as their larger competitors stand very little chance of getting on the news stand that day. In addition, the distributors take advantage of this situation by charging substantially higher distribution fees; so the smaller newspapers find it difficult to gain a substantial share of the market even though they carry substantive and high quality content.
2.4 The editorial independence of print media published by a public authority is protected adequately against undue political interference.

There are no print media published by a public authority in Mauritius.

2.5 Adequate competition legislation/regulation seeks to prevent media concentration and monopolies.

Mauritius does not have any regulations to prevent media concentration, but it has recently set up a Competition Commission (CC) whose role is to protect consumers and oversee price fixing. The Commissioners are appointed by government. The CC relies on the public or private sector players bringing their
complaints forward to the Commission. It is not involved in independently going out to investigate cases.

Currently there are two major print media companies - *La Sentinelle* and *Le Défi* - that publish numerous titles between them. In 2004, the two companies fought a battle for an independent Sunday weekly called *Le Dieu*. *La Sentinelle* came out tops, buying the title for 12 million rupees. In addition to their print media holdings, the two companies also each have a share in different radio stations (Mauritian law allows print media companies to own a maximum of 20 percent shares in a private radio station).

In the last two years there, a new big player has entered the media landscape. The well resourced British American Insurance has already bought three existing titles, and recently launched an internet based newspaper called *NewsNow*. Two of the publications have remained the same in look and content, but Impact has evolved into a Mauritian version of *Newsweek*, dealing with national issues. British American Insurance also plans on launching a new daily.

The tug of war between the three conglomerates is indicative of their growth through the acquisition of small independent publications. One panellist pointed out that “There is a lot going on in Mauritius – there is construction, investment and many other things. Whoever is in control of information is in control of these many things.”

This can be viewed as a potential threat to freedom of expression because in the next five to ten years, if this trend continues, most publications will not be able to write against the private sector even if their business ventures have a detrimental impact on the general public. The concentration of media products in the hands of a few could sound the death knell for independent journalism.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Country does not meet indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average score:** 1.9 (2008 = 2.2)
2.6 Government promotes a diverse media landscape with economically viable and independent media outlets.

Government does not provide any concessions that specifically target the media industry. It also does not have any policy to promote the development of small scale independent media organisations. Government will, however, support smaller publications whose content does not reflect negatively on it through the placement of government advertising. Among those that receive such advertising are *Le Matinal* and *Le Socialiste*, which probably get the bulk of government advertising.

**Scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual scores:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Country does not meet indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 1.4 (2008 =n/a)

2.7 All media fairly reflect the voices of both women and men.

Opinion on this indicator was divided, with one group of panellists feeling that women are unfairly treated, and are only seen but not really heard. They agreed that women were always in the headlines as victims of rape and domestic violence, or as a depiction of a social problem, but that they were very rarely used as sources of news. Celebrities or high-profile women in positions of power may be given space or airtime, but their voices are usually only heard on fashion, lifestyle or soft social issues. Their comment is very rarely sought on policy issues, and never sought on economic issues. It was highlighted that society in Mauritius is patriarchal and men are a strong majority in the media throughout the different structures.

Other panellists, however, pointed out that the latest report by Media Watch indicated that there has been improvement, and that women are being portrayed more fairly. The example provided was that an employee at the MBC had recently been in the media limelight for several days, talking about what she saw as her
unfair suspension from work. A few women in prominent positions were also highlighted as sources of news. *L’Hebdo* - a weekly printed by *Le Defi Media Group* - dedicates four pages of various types of stories featuring women. *L’Express* also has a regular feature on women involved in science, business, commerce and industry.

It was also highlighted that the current media portrayal of women is reflective of society. The political spectrum itself is composed of a majority of men and the business world of Mauritius is dominated by men, which would explain why their voices are so prominent. Added to that is the fact that women in the public sector are reluctant, and in some cases unable, to provide sound bites when they are approached by the media.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Country does not meet indicator</th>
<th>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets some aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets most aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 3.6 (2008 = n/a)

**2.8 All media fairly reflect the voices of society in its ethnic, linguistic, religious, political and social diversity.**

Mainstream media make a significant attempt to cover ethnic, linguistic and religious diversity, but there is a massive imbalance in the coverage of social and political diversity. One panellist felt that issues of social diversity are disregarded even when organisations make a concerted effort to invite the media to press conferences highlighting newsworthy issues, and provide press briefs or appealing sound bites. Political issues are covered in a biased manner depending on the media organisation and their political agenda. Minority parties are sidelined.
There are a variety of media products that cater specifically to the diverse population of the country, but it is difficult to sustain a media product purely on linguistic grounds. A weekly news magazine that was being published in Hindi went under because it did not have a large enough consumer base. There are, however, publications that are specific to the diverse ethnic and religious groupings in Mauritius. Mainstream media make a concerted effort to include different ethnic populations as news sources, especially when it is visible that voices of certain groupings are missing.

Newsrooms receive a stream of invitations from all the diverse groupings in Mauritius, and they make their editorial decisions based on the newsworthiness of a story, as this is their priority – particularly for privately owned media. State radio and television is bound by the MBC Act to ensure fair representation of all the ethnic, religious and linguistic groups in Mauritius. Ensuring compliance with the Act can, however, cause problems because an event has to be covered even when is not considered newsworthy.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.3 (2008 = n/a ; 2006 = n/a)

2.9 The country has a coherent ICT policy, which aims to meet the information needs of all citizens, including marginalised communities.

Mauritius does not have an ICT policy. Instead, it has an ICT Action plan to promote broader access and use of internet by its citizens. The Plan was developed with various stakeholders, and is being implemented by the National Computer Board (NCB).
According to a survey carried out by the NCB, 60 percent of Mauritians do not consider it important to access or use internet. This finding goes against the desire of the Mauritian government to become a cyber island.

The government intends to introduce computers at post offices for people to access and use easily. There are internet cafes in major towns and cities, but not in rural areas.

**Scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual scores:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Country does not meet indicator</td>
<td><img src="rating1.png" alt="Rating" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="rating2.png" alt="Rating" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="rating3.png" alt="Rating" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="rating4.png" alt="Rating" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
<td><img src="rating5.png" alt="Rating" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average score:** 2.4 (2008 = n/a)

**2.10 Government does not use its power over the placement of advertisements as a means to interfere with editorial content.**

Government adspend is used as a weapon or reward, depending on the position of the media organisation in relation to the government of the day.

The funding for government advertising is released through the Government Information Service - a department which operates directly under the jurisdiction of the Prime Minister’s Office.

There are media that survive solely on government advertising, and numerous media organisations have gone out of business simply because of a change of government or withdrawal of advertising. Media houses are continuously threatened by government to dismiss journalists and editors whose writing is regarded as anti-government. Advertising is withdrawn if the suggestion is not complied with.

The Editor-in-Chief of *Le Matinal* is regarded as a man close to the Prime Minister and he has used this belief to maintain a firm grip on his position. It is
believed that he is the drawcard for all the Government support and advertising in his publication. *Le Matinal* has shown that it is willing to lose good journalists to ensure that the EIC continues in his position at the paper.

*Le Militant* – a paper belonging to the opposition MMM – went out of business because it did not get any Government advertising after the party lost power in 2005. It now publishes online.

The current government gradually began withdrawing advertising from *L’Express* soon after it won elections in 2005. It eventually withdrew all advertising in 2009, mainly because the Editor-in-Chief of *L’Express* is regarded as a critical opponent of government. The publishing company, *La Sentinelle*, has taken the case to the Supreme Court on the basis that Government is required to advertise vacancies and tenders in widely circulated publications. The outcome of this court case had not been decided at the time of this AMB report.

The stance taken by government is usually supported by large parastatals such as Mauritius Telecom and Waste Water Authority. These are big companies that issue numerous advertisements, but over the past few years they have tended to advertise only in media who are close to the government of the day. Furthermore, private companies need government authorisation for licences and projects, so very few of them will jeopardise their positions by advertising in media that they know have been blacklisted by government.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

**Average score:** 1.3 (2008 =1.3)
2.11 The advertising market is large enough to support a diversity of media outlets.

The diversity of media in Mauritius illustrates the size of the advertising market. It is estimated that R100 million is available for adspend in the media annually, allowing for a variety of media products to exist rather successfully. However, as noted earlier, this adspend does not find its way into media that are more critical of government.

Those that are closely aligned to the government in power or malleable by the State are awarded the largest amount of advertising.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.9 (2008 = 2.9)

Average score for sector 2: 2.6 (2008 = 2.9)
SECTOR 3:

Broadcasting regulation is transparent and independent; the state broadcaster is transformed into a truly public broadcaster.
Broadcasting regulation is transparent and independent; the state broadcaster is transformed into a truly public broadcaster.

3.1 Broadcasting legislation has been passed and is implemented that provides for a conducive environment for public, commercial and community broadcasting.


The IBA Act provided for the establishment of the Independent Broadcasting Authority – the body responsible for issuing radio and television licences, and for regulating the electronic and broadcast industry. The legal framework allows for public and commercial broadcasting, but does not define or make provision for community broadcasting.

The IBA has granted licences to three private radio stations, but has not granted any licences for private local television stations.

*La Sentinelle* has apparently put everything in place to start the first local privately owned commercial television station, but it has not been granted a licence.

**Scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual scores:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Country does not meet indicator</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score 1" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score 2" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score 3" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score 4" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Score 5" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average score:** 2.9 (2008 = 2.9)
3.2 Broadcasting is regulated by an independent body adequately protected by law against interference whose board is appointed – in an open way – involving civil society and not dominated by any particular political party.

There is no public involvement in the selection or nomination of board members to the Independent Broadcasting Authority (IBA). The chairman and members of the Authority are appointed by the Prime Minister, in consultation with the President of the Republic and the leader of the Opposition. The President declined one of the Prime Minister’s nominations on the basis that the appointee was a member of the PM’s political party.

The board consists of eight to ten members and meets once a month to discuss policy issues. Appointments to a public sector board are considered highly prestigious, and it is the norm for potential candidates to lobby for the post well before an election campaign starts. Being appointed is a reward for supporting the party that takes on power. Board members are very well aware of the role they play on these boards, i.e. to endorse government policies and processes. So while the legislation paves the way for an independent authority, actual practice does not allow for neutrality and independence from political interference.

The chairman and the board of the Information Communication Technology Authority (ICTA) are appointed in the same manner as the IBA. The ICTA is responsible for issuing radio licences for boats and other wireless radios.

Scores:

Individual scores:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Country does not meet indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 1.5 (2008 = n/a)
3.3 The body regulates broadcasting services and licences in the public interest and ensures fairness and a diversity of views broadly representing society at large.

The legislation governing the IBA provides for a code of conduct which insists on fairness and transparency. However, the process of granting licences is not considered transparent. Board decisions are not necessarily made public, and since each radio station has been awarded three frequencies, it is easy for the IBA to claim that there are not enough frequencies to allocate to new players in the broadcasting industry.

There are no private television stations because the legislation is restrictive and the minimum funding required is prohibitive for most organisations in Mauritius. La Sentinelle - which has the financial resources at hand - is ready to start a new television station, but has not been granted a licence. The media group has not been provided with an explanation.

The IBA has a Complaints Committee, which is a mediating body dealing with grievances regarding content, from members of the public. It is usually political parties who approach the IBA, while the general public very rarely take up issues with the body.

Members of the public can approach the IBA Complaints Committee on issues of libel, but this prevents them from then taking up the matter in court. The CC is a mediation body and does not have the mandate to award financial compensation for defamation. Panellists pointed out that the current chairperson of the Complaints Committee of the IBA (at the time of the AMB report) is regarded by the media fraternity as independent and credible.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.2 (2008 = 3.0)
3.4 The state/public broadcaster is accountable to the public through a board representative of society at large and selected in an independent, open and transparent manner.

The board of the Mauritius Broadcasting Corporation is made up of seven members, including a chairman. The board and chairman of the MBC are appointed solely by the Prime Minister, without any additional external consultation. Two of the board members are ministry officials and the remaining 5 board members should have experience in certain sectors such as education, culture, economics, labour, science or broadcasting. Practically it could be anyone. At the time of this report, the MBC’s chairperson was from the tourism sector.

The Director General (DG) of the MBC is also appointed solely by the Prime Minister and so reports directly to him and not to the Board. The current DG served as the Director of Communications before being appointed to his current position. The process of selection creates friction between the DG and the chairman of the MBC board, as both appointees consider themselves equally privileged in terms of position, and directly accountable to the Prime Minister. This belief results in a constant tug of war as they vie for power. Panellists felt the PM strategically appointed people who would always have a conflict with each other, so that he would ultimately have complete control.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.3 (2008 = 1.9)
3.5 Office bearers with the state and political parties, as well as those with a financial interest in the broadcasting industry, are excluded from possible membership on the board of the state/public broadcaster.

Office bearers in state and political parties, as well as those with financial interests in the industry are not barred from being board members of the MBC. The previous chairman of the MBC owned his own advertising agency, presenting a clear conflict of interest. His agency was awarded numerous advertising contracts by the MBC.

At one point, MBC staff would use the organisations’ equipment to develop productions, and would then sell these to the MBC as independent local productions. This practice was discovered and staff members were suspended.

Conflict of interest is disregarded during the appointment of board members or organisational heads.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.5 (2008 = 2.3)

3.6 The editorial independence of the state/public broadcaster from political influence is guaranteed by law and practised.

The MBC Act guarantees editorial independence. In practice, however, the news is heavily influenced by the government in power. Since key appointments are determined by the PM, the people selected to these positions are clearly aware of where their loyalties have to lie and what their obligations are.
Although the MBC has a news director, the editorial stance is decided upon by the DG. Material for the main news bulletin is edited and vetted by 3 key people – the director of news, the chief editor and the DG. In some cases, the DG will intervene and request changes to the script or the footage. Special attention is paid to stories that feature the Prime Minister. The 7:30pm evening news bulletin on television is watched by approximately 70 to 80 percent of the population.

During his speech at an Eid (religious Muslim festival) function, the PM pointed out that there were at least five people in the room who were potential candidates for his position. At that moment, the cameraman panned to a specific individual in the room, and it was obvious that this instruction had been provided by a higher authority. The cameraman could not and would not have made the choice of his own volition. That kind of direction was meant to convey the message that this is a future candidate that people should consider.

Another example: during a broadcast on a book launch, the author was narrating historical events in a biased manner that portrayed the ruling party heroically, and as the only liberators of the country. Ironically, a few seconds later, the PM appeared on television warning against historians who distort facts. Clearly, the use of media to distort factual and historical information seems to be acceptable only as long as news content reflects government in a positive way at all times.

Scores:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual scores:</th>
<th>Country does not meet indicator</th>
<th>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets some aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets most aspects of indicator</th>
<th>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>🟢 🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>🟢 🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 1.3 (2008 = n/a)
3.7 The state/public broadcaster is adequately funded in a manner that protects it from arbitrary interference through its budget and from commercial pressure.

Over a decade ago, the state broadcaster relied heavily on government grants and advertising revenue to sustain itself. The funding derived from licence fees was inadequate as the majority of television owners would not pay the required monthly fee of Rs25.

This changed with the enactment of the MBC Collection of Licence Fees Act, which makes it compulsory for every household in Mauritius to pay a levy of Rs100 (US$3) every month towards television fees. This fee is added directly to electricity bills, and it is estimated that an average of 300,000 households pay this amount each month. This translates into Rs30 million every month.

The only way for households to get out of this financial obligation is to prove that they do not own a television set. A letter has to be written to the MBC informing them of this fact, and they will then pay a visit to that household to substantiate this claim.

This obligatory licence has allowed MBC to decline grants that were being provided by the government.

The MBC is uniquely placed to charge high advertising rates because it is the only local television broadcaster in the country. The MBC does not succumb to commercial pressure despite huge contracts from advertisers. For example, a contractual obligation between the very popular Lotto and the MBC states that the lotto draw will be aired live every Saturday at 8:20pm. This contractual obligation has been set aside several times because the MBC will often air the Prime Minister's speech in full at this particular time. The Lotto draw often only takes place 10 to 15 minutes later. It is very unlikely that the lottery franchise holders will protest because they received their licence from Government and would not want to risk having it revoked.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.1 (2008 = n/a)

3.8 The state/public broadcaster is technically accessible in the entire country.

The public broadcaster is technically accessible throughout Mauritius via analogue, while 80 percent of the country is covered by digital signal. Mauritius is likely to meet the benchmark for African countries of attaining digital migration long before the agreed date of 2015.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 4.8 (2008 = 4.9)
3.9 The state/public broadcaster offers diverse programming formats for all interests.

The MBC offers a variety of programmes in various formats.

MBC tries to cater for all tastes, with soap operas, documentaries, talk shows, interviews and movies that are dubbed or subtitled. The soap operas are produced by independent production houses and feature story lines that Mauritians can identify with. Panellists felt the high concentration of religious and cultural programmes could be due to the fact that they are not considered controversial. Panellists felt that MBC was lacking in one aspect, i.e in that it does not provide live coverage of the National Assembly.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.5 (2008 = 3.5)

3.10 The state/public broadcaster offers balanced and fair information in news and current affairs, reflecting the full spectrum of diverse views and opinions.

A panellist recalled an interview with a former Director General who stated that because written media was always against the government, the MBC would have to restore the balance. This statement probably reflects the philosophy and thinking at the MBC, which is extremely biased in its news coverage and current affairs programming.
The MBC Act demands fairness and balance, but the coverage of politics and news items by the Corporation is far from balanced, and does not cover the full spectrum of political events taking place in the country. Prioritisation of news is skewed, with newsworthy items often being dropped for pieces that portray government in a positive light. The time allocated to the PM is consistently disproportionate to the coverage of actual news events.

During the 1999 riots in Mauritius, for example, the lead item on the news bulletin would be the official opening of a new building. It did not feature the riots as a major news story, but rather as a brief. There was no mention of what was happening on the streets, and footage of any of the events was noticeably missing. For this reason, people resorted to getting news on events in their country on international television channels. In their defence, the MBC claimed that they did not want to fuel an already aggravated situation.

Another example of biased broadcast time allocation could be seen during the elections, when the Prime Minister always received double the amount of airtime that his counterpart is allocated. The PM was seen at different events engaging in intellectual discussions, while MBC would choose clips that portrayed his rival as inarticulate, clumsy and abusive.

Panellists agreed that the MBC could easily choose to be professional in their work. They pointed out that coverage by the MBC on the floods that affected Mauritius several years ago was exceptional. This illustrated that the technical resources and the professional skill is available at the MBC.

There is often a news blackout on incidents that portray government in a negative light. However, when a positive solution to that problem is found, this news will be aired. This often leaves viewers lacking context to media stories that they see/hear. For example, coverage on the Attorney General’s resignation did not make it to the news agenda, but when he reconsidered his decision after negotiations with the government, the topic made headlines. Audiences had no idea that he had even contemplated resigning or the reasons for his decision.

In addition to situations like those described above, the DG is able to refuse coverage at will. For example, the Minister of Labour once invited the MBC to cover a press conference dealing with the suspension of a staff member of the MBC. The Director General refused the invitation on behalf of the MBC, and instead held a press conference to justify his refusal. As a political nominee, the DG is able to act arrogantly and even defy a Minister.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.4 (2008 = 1.5)

3.11 The state/public broadcaster offers as much diverse and creative local content as economically achievable.

One of the main objectives of the MBC is to promote local productions. MBC itself produces programmes which focus on local communities and chart their successes in projects and activities. It carries a weekly programme highlighting women from all walks of life. The MBC is in a position to pay for independently produced material, and offers a variety of locally produced soap operas which are very popular with Mauritians.

But the MBC also tends to fall prisoner to social cultural organisations and to its commitment to diversity. There is intense pressure from socio-cultural groups, who will demand the removal of a DG if they are unhappy with the programming on MBC. Every year, there is a meeting with the management of the MBC and a representative of the socio-cultural organisation, in which the two parties will negotiate the number of hours allocated to religious and cultural events.

The MBC has also had success with local competition shows, but when it first held a singing competition in Hindi, it was pressured to offer the same programme and competition in Urdu, Tamil, Sega, French and English. It took a year to broadcast.

There are large corporations that assist in the promotion of local productions by sponsoring programmes and shows. However, these funds have been mismanaged on occasion. During a parliamentary Question and Answer session, for example, a question was raised around Pepsi Hungama – a Hindi singing competition. News then broke out that funds could not be accounted for, so a high ranking MBC employee and the Director General at the time were fired.

Panellists felt local productions could be more creative.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.6 (2008 = 3.1)

3.12 Community broadcasting enjoys special promotion given its potential to broaden access by communities to the airwaves.

There is no provision for community broadcasting in Mauritius and it is not defined in the Act. There are no community radio or television stations in Mauritius.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.8 (2008 = 3.1)

Average score for sector 3: 1.8 (2008 = 2.6)
SECTOR 4:

The media practise high levels of professional standards.
The media practice high levels of professional standards.

4.1 The media follow voluntary codes of professional standards, which are enforced by self-regulatory bodies that deal with complaints from the public.

The media in Mauritius do not have a voluntary or a statutory code of conduct by which they abide.

The National Editors and Proprietors Association (NEPA) has managed to put together a draft code of conduct, which has been distributed amongst media practitioners for final approval. NEPA will also have to engage in a process to ensure consensus, so that media houses implement this voluntary code of conduct.

The drafting of the code was a long and laborious process because it has been difficult to get consensus amongst the editors. Clashing egos and temperamental personalities have impeded progress. Individuals will pull out when things are not going their way, so NEPA is not functioning as effectively as it should. This lack of cooperation also extends to journalists and their media organisations.

There is a group of editors that prefers to embrace a voluntary code of conduct only after the elimination of criminal defamation. These editors feel that it would be contradictory to develop a code of conduct while journalists have an impending Media Commission Bill hanging over their heads, and still face the possibility of being criminally sued for defamation.

Other than NEPA, there are no professional media organisations in Mauritius. As such, processes are stalled by the lack of professional and formal structures to take them forward.

La Sentinelle is the only media house that has a formal code of conduct that has been widely publicised. It has also set up a Complaints Committee that is independent of the media house, and the findings of this Committee are published in the media. To date it has only heard 12 cases and these are mostly brought to the Committee by people in prominent positions. Politicians very rarely take up the matter with the Committee, and prefer taking the matter to the courts instead.

Mauritians do not have a culture of complaining, which is probably why ordinary citizens have never taken up an issue with the Complaints Committee at La Sentinelle. Being a small island, it is common practice in Mauritius to call the
chief editor directly and raise an issue regarding content in their media. In this way, the matter is resolved quickly and quietly.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.1 (2008 = 1.9)

4.2 The standard of reporting follows the basic principles of accuracy and fairness.

The media in Mauritius follow basic professional standards and journalists generally strive to be fair in their reporting. However, some reporters are not always accurate. Media practitioners are generally ignorant of human rights. Coupled with their own prejudice, this often results in stories that are not fair or ethical.

The inclination not to check facts and figures is common amongst journalists at specific media houses, and is cause for concern. A story on the alleged rape of a young girl, for example, differed in detail from one report to another and it was difficult for consumers to determine which one was accurate. The same journalists tend to focus on the sensational elements of a story and often provide gruesome details that are unnecessary and objectionable.

Fairness and accuracy has been compromised by the advent of private radio stations who compete with each other to break news.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
   ![Score 1]

2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
   ![Score 2]

3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
   ![Score 3]

4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
   ![Score 4]

5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator
   ![Score 5]

Average score: 2.7 (2008 = 3.3)

4.3 The media cover the full spectrum of events, issues and cultures, including business/economics, cultural, local and investigative stories.

Media organisations in Mauritius do not struggle with a lack of stories. In fact, there are far too many issues and events to cover and the media do a good job of covering the full spectrum of stories. The larger and more popular media will cover a wider spectrum of news to ensure the growth of their circulation figures or their audiences.

There are two publications that focus primarily on investigative stories, and while the rest of the media do carry investigative pieces, they are not a common feature in their reportage. It is extremely difficult to undertake investigative stories in Mauritius because the island is small and everyone knows each other. So it is hard to follow a story without being found out.

Mauritians love to hold press conferences, and this can be difficult for media houses who, on average, receive 10 to 20 invitations a day. Since it is not possible to cover all the events, journalists will often call up the organisers and report on the issue that will be raised at the briefing. Due to the large number of press conferences and the limited technical human resources to cover them, many stories are dropped, and not all issues will make it into the media. This also means that organisations have to work extra hard to ensure their issues are newsworthy enough to attract the attention of the media.

Trade union and human rights issues do not seem to make it onto the news agenda, partly because the media owners have opinions that differ from the organisations they are supposed to cover.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1  Country does not meet indicator
2  Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3  Country meets some aspects of indicator
4  Country meets most aspects of indicator
5  Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.7 (2008 = 4.3)

4.4. Equal opportunities regardless of race, social group, gender/sex, religion, disabilities and age are promoted in media houses.

There is a strong sectarian connotation to the media in Mauritius which is often referred to as “presse Creole”. A journalist’s climb up the promotional ladder can be restricted in certain media houses if his or her profile is not ‘politically correct’.

A major event in the media fraternity was the first ever appointment of a Hindu editor at L’Express in 2005. Subsequently, all the other staff above and below him were expected to be Creole.

All sectors in Mauritius promote a particular profile. In the private sector, the chances of getting promoted are much higher if you are white, while in the public sector it is believed that people will go further up the ladder if they are Vaish.

The caste system also prevails within organisations, and appointments are often made based on the sect of the person in question. Even friendships and relationships are based on these sectarian grounds. The new generation of journalists entering the newsrooms are also encouraged to be partisan in their dealings with colleagues. Many of the younger, less experienced personnel will not take orders from their senior and more experienced colleagues because they consider them to be of a lower caste.

It was related that during a conversation with a journalist working at a weekend paper, the editor of the paper made an objectionable comment about how the Vaish (a sect) smell dung. The journalist’s retort was that: “these people who smell dung are leading the country, so let’s show some respect.” With that remark he walked out.
In another incident, a young journalist working at a media organisation was constantly mistaken as being from a higher caste. Her colleagues did not believe her when she said she was not from this caste, as the position she held usually only went to members of that caste. When her editor found out, he tried to do everything possible to have her removed from her position.

One of the panellists felt that while this kind of partisanship may be considered a bad practice, it could also be considered a good thing because it encouraged more enterprising journalists to go out and start their own media products.

In contrast, the MBC hires a diverse range of people from different ethnic and religious backgrounds to ensure that this diversity spills into the content of its programmes.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Country does not meet indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 2.5 (2008 = n/a)

4.5 Journalists and editors do not practise self-censorship.

The level of self-censorship practised by journalists depends on the story on which they are working, and the organisation by which they are employed.

Self-censorship is common practice for journalists at the MBC. Although the final decision regarding content lies with the Director of News, journalists are aware of what will go on air and what will be scrapped. As such, they produce news accordingly.

There were panellists who felt that journalists also have to practise self-censorship when they are covering certain figures, who often make defamatory or racist remarks. This self-censorship is practised to minimise the harm that may be caused by repeating these provocative remarks.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.4 (2008 = 2.4)

4.6 Owners of established mainstream private media do not interfere with editorial independence.

Interference from owners is rife.

When journalists submit stories on certain issues or individuals, what is published the next morning may not be the same story. The byline will remain, but the content of the story is vastly different from the one submitted by the journalist. The content has not just been edited, it is often rewritten.

Media in Mauritius are run purely on commercial lines, and the owners want to wield their influence through this powerful medium. The idea is to influence public opinion.

Advertisers will attempt to have stories spiked, but this is not always successful because they hardly ever threaten to pull out their advertising. Sometimes the editor will try to get the journalist to tone down the story because the organisation relies on the revenue generated by the advertising.
**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 1.9 (2008 = 2.4)

### 4.7 Journalists and media houses have integrity and are not corrupt.

“In a country that is as corrupt as Mauritius, the media can be considered to be exemplary in their conduct. There are only a few journalists who are corrupt and yet the majority of them work under difficult conditions with low pay,” stated one of the panellists.

One panellist related that a Member of Parliament had told him/her that she had been asked by a journalist for Rs 25 000 to carry out an interview. She refused to pay. There are many such incidents where reporters will ask for payment to write features on individuals or companies who want to be profiled in the media.

Organisations will try to influence the press with gifts and other contributions which journalists will willingly take, but there is no guarantee that the story will be in their favour.

Different media houses have different rules on gifts. One media house has clearly instructed its journalists not to accept any gifts, large or small. Another media organisation insists that their staff should inform the editor of gifts that exceed the value of Rs1000. The coverage of the story involving the benefactor will also be tracked to ensure that the journalist is not writing the story in a biased manner as a result of the gift.
Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 3.6 (2008 = n/a)

4.8 Salary levels and general working conditions for journalists and other media practitioners are adequate.

According to a report by the National Remuneration Board, the starting salary for a journalist should be Rs8000. This wage is unrealistic and will not attract potential entrants into the profession.

With a growing media industry and rife competition amongst media houses, journalists have been able to negotiate for better salaries and conditions.

Salaries in Mauritius differ from one media organisation to another, and a journalist’s earning power is dependent on his or her market value. A journalist can command a high salary and excellent benefits if he/she has specialist knowledge, is well known for his/her work, or is able to provide lead stories on a regular basis. A journalist specialising in economic news is currently earning Rs 100 000 a month at one media house. He works extremely hard and produces copy for a variety of media products in that organisation.

One of the larger media houses offers adequate entry level packages depending on a journalist’s profile and ability to negotiate. The organisation tends to hire university graduates who are French, and they start off at Rs 13 000 to Rs 20 000 a month. More experienced senior personnel earn less than entry level staff at this organisation, and many of these experienced journalists are leaving for smaller media houses where the remuneration is better.

The MBC falls under the Pay Research Bureau salary scheme, so remuneration for entry level journalists is fixed at Rs 18 000. Entry into MBC is difficult and depends on qualifications and ability or political connections. All potential employees must
have a University degree. But the salary of an MBC employee can remain static for several years, and it may even take ten years to earn Rs 25 000. During this period a journalist’s hard work is rewarded with more responsibility but the remuneration remains the same. MBC staff who are employed on a contractual basis have the power to earn much more depending once again on their negotiation skills.

There is a huge gap in earnings of full time journalists and senior staff at all media houses. A reporter can earn Rs25 000 per month while a line editor will earn Rs70 000.

All media practitioners work extremely long hours and are not paid overtime. Full time employees at the MBC, however, are paid overtime. Additional benefits and conditions differ from one media house to another.

The new trend amongst large organisations with a variety of media products is to contract journalists to provide copy for all their media products – print and radio.

Scores:

Individual scores:

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.7 (2008 = n/a)

4.9 Media professionals have access to training facilities offering formal qualification programmes as well as opportunities to upgrade skills.

There is a variety of journalism programmes offered at different training institutions in Mauritius.

- The University of Mauritius offers an undergraduate programme – a BSc in Communication with a specialisation in journalism or business communication. The degree programme is both theoretical and practical, and students taking journalism are obliged to undergo an internship in a media house during their degree programme.
o There is also a Communication Course but it does not focus specifically on journalistic practices.
o The University is considering a full-time degree programme in Journalism for 2011. – At the time this was mentioned they were still working on it.

• The University of Technology in Mauritius offers journalism courses.
• The Alliance Francaise offers courses in journalism at 3 different levels.

Many of the media houses offer in-house training programmes specialising in different areas such as photography, design and layout, investigative journalism, business news, etc. La Sentinelle brings in trainers from a leading French training institute to conduct short term in-house training courses and seminars.

The Media Trust that was set up several years ago was initially conceptualised to focus on the training of journalists. The Trust has been unable to function because the PM has not given permission for it to operate. This has paralysed the organisation, which used to offer short-term exchange programmes for journalists from different media houses, and invite media practitioners from different parts of the world undertake short-term training in Mauritius. Training for broadcast journalists - conducted by Radio France International and sponsored by the French Embassy - is undertaken at the premises of the Media

Scores:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual scores:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Country does not meet indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Country meets only a few aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Country meets some aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Country meets most aspects of indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Country meets all aspects of the indicator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average score: 2.8 (2008 = 3.1)

---

2 The launch of the programme is planned for August 2011 but is not yet announced officially on the website.
4.10 **Journalists and other media practitioners are organised in trade unions and/or professional associations.**

The Newspaper Editors and Publishers Association is the only professional media organisation in Mauritius.

There have been attempts to set up different organisations, but these have collapsed for a variety of reasons such as personality clashes, rivalry between different media houses and differing agendas.

The announcement by the Prime Minister regarding his intention to introduce a Media Commission Bill has pushed journalists to form a partnership which operates on an adhoc and informal basis.

Journalists rally together when one of their colleagues is arrested, but this is done on an informal level. They tend to be reactive rather than proactive.

**Scores:**

**Individual scores:**

1. Country does not meet indicator
2. Country meets only a few aspects of indicator
3. Country meets some aspects of indicator
4. Country meets most aspects of indicator
5. Country meets all aspects of the indicator

Average score: 2.0 (2008 = 1.5)

Average score for sector 4: 2.6 (2008 = 3.0)

OVERALL COUNTRY SCORE: 2.5 (2008 = 2.8)
Developments since 2008

Positive developments in the media environment:

- The launching of new media houses has transformed the media landscape and journalists now have more bargaining power.
- There is better flow of information.
- Ethical standards have improved.
- There is an increased awareness of media freedom and freedom of information issues among journalists and citizens.
- State pressure and continuous threats have resulted in journalists coming together in informal ways.
- NEPA has drafted a code of conduct for media practitioners.
- There is an increased use of new media technology.

Negative developments in the media environment:

- Government has continued to use advertising as a weapon to punish or reward media houses.
- Relations between certain sectors of the media and Government have deteriorated.
- There has been an increase in arrests of journalists.
- Journalists have been attacked by activists loyal to the ruling party.
- The labelling of media as sectarian by socio-cultural organisations.

Activities needed over the next few years:

- There is an urgent need to set up a variety of professional media organizations and associations – e.g. union for journalists, a media lobby group, a publishers forum or an editors forum.
- A credible figure who commands respect in the media should be sought to bring journalists together to look at approving a final draft of a professional code of conduct.
- A voluntary media council has to be set up to implement the code of conduct. This media council should also be responsible for setting up a complaints committee.
- There is also a strong need to set up a welfare fund for media people.
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